arXiv:1608.03106v1 [math.RT] 10 Aug 2016

MODIFIED RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS AND DRINFELD DOUBLE

MING LU AND LIANGANG PENG

Abstract. Inspired by the works of Bridgeland and Gorsky on constructing Ringel-Hall algebras from $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes, we consider the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes over a hereditary abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ which may not have enough projective objects, and define the modified Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, to be the localization of a quotient algebra of the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. We prove such Ringel-Hall algebra to be of some nice properties and structures. The first one is that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ has a nice basis. As a consequence $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is a free module over a suitably defined quantum torus of acyclic complexes, with a basis given by the isomorphism classes of objects in the derived category of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes, and so in somehow $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ has a similar meaning as the semi-derived Hall algebra of Gorsky. The second one is that in twisted case $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, the twisted extended Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ itself. In particular, the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of a quiver and the category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective curve or on a weighted projective line are hereditary abelian categories, and so their twisted modified Ringel-Hall algebras are isomorphic to their Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebras. Finally, if $\mathcal{A}$ has a tilting object T, then its modified Ringel-Hall algebra is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$ of the exact category $\operatorname{add} T$ defined by Gorsky and so isomorphic to the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra of $\operatorname{mod}(\operatorname{End}(T))$.

1. Introduction

The Ringel-Hall algebra of an associative algebra A (or more generally an exact category ε) over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, by definition, is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$) with a basis, indexed by the isomorphism classes of all finite-dimensional A-modules. The structural coefficients, which are called Ringel-Hall numbers, are related to the numbers of exact sequences in the $\operatorname{mod} A$ of the form $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$. For A hereditary, a famous result in finite type case given by Ringel [Rin3] and generalized to any type case by Green [Gr] shows that the composition subalgebra of the twisted Ringel-Hall algebra of A is isomorphic to the positive part of the corresponding quantum group. This means that the positive part of a quantum group and then of a Kac-Moody algebra can be described in terms of the module category of a hereditary algebra. Later there have been many attempts to construct the Ringel-Hall algebras from certain suitable categories to describe or realize the whole quantum groups or the whole Kac-Moody algebras and not only the positive parts. Among them, three typical constructions should be mentioned. The first one was the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebras from hereditary categories to realize the whole quantum groups (see [Gr, X, SV]). The second one was the Ringel-Hall Lie algebras from root categories (see [PX1, PX2, Hub]) to realize the whole Kac-Moody Lie algebras. The third one was the derived Ringel-Hall algebras from derived categories or more generalized triangulated categories with certain conditions (see [T, XX], for a slightly different version see [Kap]). However, these constructions are still not so perfect in some sense, in which the first two constructions both are of two pieces and the multiplications between these two pieces have to be put in by hand, and

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10,16W30.

Key words and phrases. Modified Ringel-Hall algebras, Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebras, Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebras, Hereditary abelian categories, semi-derived Hall algebras.

The both authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China with Grant Nos. 11401401 and 11521061 respectively.

the last construction, namely the derived Ringel-Hall algebras, seems not to realize any quantum group. So it is a problem whether there is a unified treatment using a complete Ringel-Hall algebra approach to realize the whole quantum groups.

Recently Bridgeland in [Br] found a smart way to deal with this problem. He considered the Ringel-Hall algebra of the exact category of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes with projective components of an abelian category with enough projective objects, and then localized it at all classes of acyclic complexes. In this way, when the category is hereditary he can realize the whole quantum group. Later Gorsky in [Gor] constructed the so called semi-derived Hall algebra of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded or $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes of an exact category satisfying certain finiteness conditions. In particular, his $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded version requires the condition that the exact category has enough projective objects. In this case he proved the semi-derived Hall algebra of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes is isomorphic to the corresponding Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra.

The multiplicative structure of the semi-derived Hall algebra is slightly similar to the usual Ringel-Hall multiplication but not completely. However, when the exact category is hereditary and has enough projective objects, Gorsky proved that the multiplication of the semi-derived Hall algebra is completely same to the usual Ringel-Hall multiplication, and the semi-derived Hall algebra is isomorphic to a certain quotient of the Ringel-Hall algebra of complexes localized at the classes of all acyclic complexes.

In this paper, inspired by the works of Bridgeland and Gorsky on constructing Ringel-Hall algebras from $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes and especially by the above last result of Gorsky, we consider hereditary abelian categories which may not have enough projective objects. In particular, two important kinds of hereditary abelian categories are included. One is the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of a quiver. The other one is the category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective curve or on a weighted projective line. For the last case, the Ringel-Hall algebra or the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra is also related to some quantum group, for example, used to realize the Drinfeld's presentation of quantum loop algebra, see [Sch1, Sch2, BS1, DJX, BS2]. Our main results are as follows.

Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian category. After obtaining some homological properties of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded acyclic complexes in Section 2, we define in Section 3 the so called modified Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, to be the localization of a quotient algebra of the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, the category of all $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes of $\mathcal{A}$. We prove such Ringel-Hall algebra to have a nice basis as a vector space. As a consequence $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is a free module over a suitably defined quantum torus of acyclic complexes, with a basis given by the isomorphism classes of objects in the derived category of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes, and so in somehow $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ has a similar meaning as the semi-derived Hall algebra of Gorsky. In Section 4, we prove that in twisted case $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, the twisted extended Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ itself. Recently, Yanagida in [Y] proved the same result for the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra in case when $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projective objects. Our result is more general and it shows in particular that over a finite field for the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of a quiver which may have oriented cycles and the category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective curve or on a weighted projective line, their twisted modified Ringel-Hall algebras are isomorphic to their Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebras. In Section 5, if $\mathcal{A}$ has a tilting object T, then its modified Ringel-Hall algebra is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$ of the exact category $\operatorname{add} T$, and so isomorphic to the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra of $\operatorname{mod}(\operatorname{End}(T))$. Finally we should say that with a similar way one can easily get a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex version of the modified Ringel-Hall algebra and it is still of the almost same nice properties and structures.

2. $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes and homological properties of acyclic complexes

Let ε be an exact category. For the basics of the exact category, we refer to [Q, Büh, Ke].

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$ be the exact category of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes over ε. Namely, an *object* M of this category is a diagram with objects and morphisms in ε:

$$M^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1 \ , \quad d^1d^0 = d^0d^1 = 0.$$

All indices of components of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded objects will be understood modulo 2. A *morphism* $s : M \to N$ is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^0 & \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} & M^1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^1} \\ N^0 & \underset{e^1}{\overset{e^0}{\rightleftarrows}} & N^1 \end{array}$$

with $s^{i+1}d^i = e^is^i$.

The shift functor of complexes induces involution

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon) \overset{*}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$$

which shifts the grading and changes the sign of the differential as following:

$$M^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1 \overset{*}{\longleftrightarrow} M^1 \underset{-d^0}{\overset{-d^1}{\rightleftarrows}} M^0 \ .$$

For any object $X \in \varepsilon$, we denote by K_X the object $(X \underset{0}{\overset{1}{\rightleftarrows}} X) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$, by K_X^* the object $(X \underset{1}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} X) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$, and by C_X the object $(0 \rightleftarrows X) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$, by C_X^* the object $(X \rightleftarrows 0) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$.

We also denote by $\mathcal{C}^b(\varepsilon)$ the category of the bounded complexes over ε. There exists an exact functor

$$\pi : \mathcal{C}^b(\varepsilon) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon),$$

which maps a complex $(M^i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complex

$$\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M^{2i} \rightleftarrows \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} M^{2i+1}$$

with the naturally defined differentials.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian category and $\pi : \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ the natural projective functor. Then for any $M = M^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, there exist two objects $U \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$ such that there exist two short exact sequences of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes:*

$$0 \to K_{\ker f^0} \to \pi(U) \xrightarrow{qis} M \to 0, \text{ and } 0 \to M \xrightarrow{qis} \pi(V) \to K_{\mathrm{coker}(f^0)} \to 0.$$

Proof. The proof is based on [LP, Propostion 3.2], we give the proof here for convenience.

Let $M = M^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1$. Denote by $\ker f^0 \xrightarrow{i^0} M^0$ the kernel of f^0 and by $M^1 \xrightarrow{p^0} \mathrm{coker}\, f^0$ the cokernel of f^0. Since $f^1f^0 = 0$, there exists a morphism $h^0 : \mathrm{coker}\, f^0 \to \ker f^0$ such that

$i^0h^0p^0 = f^1$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is hereditary we know that the action of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{coker}\, f^0, -)$ on the canonical epimorphism $M^0 \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\, f^0$ induces an epimorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{coker}\, f^0, M^0) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathrm{coker}\, f^0, \mathrm{Im}\, f^0).$$

So we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M^0 & \xrightarrow{l^0} & Z^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{coker}\, f^0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^0} & & \| & & \\ & & M^0 & \xrightarrow{f^0} & M^1 & \xrightarrow{p^0} & \mathrm{coker}\, f^0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Then

$$M^0 \oplus \ker f^0 \underset{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h^0p^0q^0 \end{pmatrix}}{\overset{(l^0,0)}{\rightleftarrows}} Z^0$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complex. It is easy to check that the following diagram is a short exact sequence of $Z/2$-graded complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ker f^0 & \underset{0}{\overset{1}{\rightleftarrows}} & \ker f^0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \begin{pmatrix} -i^0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle -l^0i^0} \\ M^0 \oplus \ker f^0 & \underset{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h^0p^0q^0 \end{pmatrix}}{\overset{(l^0,0)}{\rightleftarrows}} & Z^0 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (1,i^0)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q^0} \\ M^0 & \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} & M^1. \end{array}$$

Therefore the morphism $((1, i^0), q^0)$ above is quasi-isomorphic. Take U to be the following complex as we required:

$$\cdots \to 0 \to M^0 \xrightarrow{l^0} Z^0 \xrightarrow{h^0p^0q^0} \ker f^0 \to 0 \to \cdots .$$

Then the first exact sequence follows.

One can prove the second exact sequence dually. □

In the following, we prove that the Ext-projective and Ext-injective dimensions of any acyclic complexes in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ are at most one when $\mathcal{A}$ is hereditary. First, we give the following lemma for arbitrary abelian category $\mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an abelian (not necessarily hereditary) category. For any exact sequence $\xi : 0 \to X^1 \xrightarrow{f^1} X^2 \xrightarrow{f^2} X^3 \xrightarrow{f^3} X^4 \to 0$, if there exist a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Y^2 & \xrightarrow{g^2} & Y^3 & \xrightarrow{g^3} & X^4 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^3} & & \| & & \\ & & X^2 & \xrightarrow{f^2} & X^3 & \xrightarrow{f^3} & X^4 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the first row is a short exact sequence, then $[\xi] = 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{B}}(X^4, X^1)$.

Proof. The commutative diagram yields the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & X^1 & \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{0}} & X^1 \oplus Y^2 & \xrightarrow{(0,g^2)} & Y^3 & \xrightarrow{g^3} & X^4 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (f^1,t^2)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^3} & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X^1 & \xrightarrow{f^1} & X^2 & \xrightarrow{f^2} & X^3 & \xrightarrow{f^3} & X^4 & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Denote by ξ' the exact sequence in the first row of the above diagram. Then clearly $[\xi'] = 0$, so $[\xi] = [\xi'] = 0$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian category. For any $M, K_X \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, we have*

(i) $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X, M) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X^*, M) = 0$, *for any $p \geq 2$.*

(ii) $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K_X) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K_X^*) = 0$, *for any $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. For any short exact sequence $\xi : 0 \to M \to L \to N \to K_X \to 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X, M)$, namely,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
M^0 & \xrightarrow{h_1} & L^0 & \xrightarrow{h_2} & N^0 & \xrightarrow{h_3} & X \\
{\scriptstyle f^1}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle f^0} & & {\scriptstyle e^1}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle e^0} & & {\scriptstyle d^1}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle d^0} & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\
M^1 & \xrightarrow{h'_1} & L^1 & \xrightarrow{h'_2} & N^1 & \xrightarrow{h'_3} & X.
\end{array}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.1 yields that there is a short exact sequence $0 \to Z \xrightarrow{g_2} Y \xrightarrow{g_3} X \to 0$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{g_2} & Y & \xrightarrow{g_3} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_3} & & \| & & \\
 & & L^0 & \xrightarrow{h_2} & N^0 & \xrightarrow{h_3} & X & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Then we get the following diagram of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes:



In order to check that the above diagram is commutative, we only need to prove that the two front squares commutate, which follows from $h'_2(e^0\beta_2) = d^0h_2\beta_2 = d^0\beta_3g_2$, and $h'_3d_0\beta_3 = h_3\beta_3 = g_3$. Then Lemma 2.2 yields that $[\xi] = 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X, M)$. By the arbitrariness of ξ we get that $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X, M) = 0$.

To sum up, we get the functor $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X, -) = 0$, then the Yoneda product yields

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X, -) = 0 \text{ for any } p \geq 2.$$

One can prove by the involution that $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K_X^*, M) = 0$ for any $p \geq 2$.

One can also prove the remaining two formulas dually. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary category. For any $K, M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, if K is acyclic, then*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K, M) = 0, \quad \mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K) = 0, \text{ for any } p \geq 2.$$

Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{can}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ the finite extension closure of the acyclic $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes of form K_X and K^*_X for $X \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}^{can}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is also closed under taking isomorphisms.

First, we prove that $\pi(X) \in \mathcal{C}^{can}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ for any acyclic complex in $\mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$. In fact, for any nonzero $X \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$,

$$X = \cdots \to 0 \to X^l \to \cdots \to X^m \to 0 \to \cdots,$$

where X^l is the leftmost nonzero component and X^m is the rightmost nonzero component, then the *width* of X is defined to be the number $m - l + 1$. If X is zero, then the width of X is defined to be 0. Note that X is acyclic since $\pi(X)$ is acyclic. It is not hard to see that there exists a short exact sequence of bounded complexes:

$$0 \to K \to X \to Y \to 0,$$

with $K = \cdots \to 0 \to X^l \xrightarrow{1} X^l \to 0 \to \cdots$ and the width of Y is less than that of X. By induction on the width, we can get that $\pi(X) \in \mathcal{C}^{can}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$.

Second, from Lemma 2.3, we get that $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(-, M)$ is zero when acting on K_X, K^*_X for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Inductively, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(K, M) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K) = 0$ for any $p \geq 2$ and any $K \in \mathcal{C}^{can}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 2.1 implies that for any acyclic complex $K = K^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} K^1$, there exists $U \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$0 \to K_{\ker d^0} \to \pi(U) \to K \to 0 \tag{1}$$

is a short exact sequence. Then $\pi(U)$ is also acyclic. Lemma 2.3 yields that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, \pi(U)) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K_{\ker d^0}), \forall p \geq 2.$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, -)$ to the short exact sequence (1), one can see that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K) = 0, \text{ for any } p \geq 2.$$

The other one is similar when we consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to \pi(V) \to K_{\mathrm{coker}\, d^0} \to 0$$

for some acyclic complex $V \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$ guaranteed by Lemma 2.1.

□

In the following, we always assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category which is essentially small with finite-dimensional homomorphism and extension spaces.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the subcategory formed by acyclic complexes in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. We denote by $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ the set of the isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

By Proposition 2.4, for objects $[K], [A] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ with K acyclic, we define the *Euler forms*

$$\langle [K], [A] \rangle = \prod_{p=0}^{+\infty} |\mathrm{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2(\mathcal{A})}}(K, A)|^{(-1)^p} = \frac{|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2(\mathcal{A})}}(K, A)|}{|\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2(\mathcal{A})}}(K, A)|}$$

and

$$\langle [A],[K]\rangle = \prod_{p=0}^{+\infty} |\operatorname{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2(\mathcal{A})}}(A,K)|^{(-1)^p} = \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2(\mathcal{A})}}(A,K)|}{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2(\mathcal{A})}}(A,K)|}.$$

These forms descend to bilinear Euler forms on the Grothendieck groups $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ and $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$, denoted by the same symbol:

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})) \times K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{Q}^\times,$$

and

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \times K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

These two form coincide on $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})) \times K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$.

We also use $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to denote the Euler form of $\mathcal{A}$.

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\widehat{A}$ the corresponding element in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{A})$

Recall that $C_X = (\ 0 \rightleftarrows X\ )$ and $C_X^* = (\ X \rightleftarrows 0\ )$ for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.5. *For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we get that*

(i) $\langle [C_A],[K_B]\rangle = \langle \widehat{A},\widehat{B}\rangle$, $\langle [C_A^*],[K_B]\rangle = 1$, $\langle [K_B],[C_A]\rangle = 1$, $\langle [K_B],[C_A^*]\rangle = \langle \widehat{B},\widehat{A}\rangle$.

(ii) $\langle [C_A],[K_B^*]\rangle = 1$, $\langle [C_A^*],[K_B^*]\rangle = \langle \widehat{A},\widehat{B}\rangle$, $\langle [K_B^*],[C_A]\rangle = \langle \widehat{B},\widehat{A}\rangle$, $\langle [K_B^*],[C_A^*]\rangle = 1$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(C_A,K_B) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A,B)$. For any short exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \overset{1}{\underset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_1} \\ X^0 & \overset{f}{\underset{g}{\rightleftarrows}} & X^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\ 0 & \rightleftarrows & A \end{array}$$

we have that $X^0 \cong B$ with l_2 the isomorphism, $f = l_1 l_2^{-1}$, $g = 0$ since $fg = 0$ and f is injective. So the short exact sequence is isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \overset{1}{\underset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_1} \\ B & \overset{l_1}{\underset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} & X^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} \\ 0 & \rightleftarrows & A. \end{array}$$

Then $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(C_A,K_B) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(A,B)$. Thus $\langle [C_A],[K_B]\rangle = \langle \widehat{A},\widehat{B}\rangle$.

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(C_A^*,K_B) = 0$. For any short exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \overset{1}{\underset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_1} \\ X^0 & \overset{f}{\underset{g}{\rightleftarrows}} & X^1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} & & \downarrow \\ A & \rightleftarrows & 0 \end{array}$$

we have that $X^1 \cong B$ with l_1 the isomorphism, $g = 0$ since $gl_1 = 0$, l_2 is a section and f is a retraction since $fl_2 = l_1$. This implies $X^0 \cong A \oplus B$. So the short exact sequence is isomorphic to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \underset{0}{\overset{1}{\rightleftarrows}} & B \\ {\scriptstyle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\ B \oplus A & \underset{0}{\overset{(1,f_1)}{\rightleftarrows}} & B \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (0,1)} & & \downarrow \\ A & \rightleftarrows & 0. \end{array}$$

However, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \oplus A & \underset{0}{\overset{(1,f_1)}{\rightleftarrows}} & B \\ {\scriptstyle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\ B \oplus A & \underset{0}{\overset{(1,0)}{\rightleftarrows}} & B, \end{array}$$

which yields that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(C^*_A, K_B) = 0$. Thus $\langle [C^*_A], [K_B] \rangle = 1$.

Similarly, one can prove the others. □

Corollary 2.6. *For any $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\langle [K_{X_1}], [K_{X_2}] \rangle = \langle \widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2} \rangle, \quad \langle [K^*_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_2}] \rangle = \langle \widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2} \rangle,$$
$$\langle [K_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_2}] \rangle = \langle \widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2} \rangle, \quad \langle [K^*_{X_1}], [K_{X_2}] \rangle = \langle \widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2} \rangle.$$

Proof. We only prove $\langle [K_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_2}] \rangle = \langle \widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2} \rangle$. The others are similar.

It is not hard to see that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to C_{X_1} \to K_{X_1} \to C^*_{X_1} \to 0.$$

Then Proposition 2.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [K_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_2}] \rangle &= \langle [C_{X_1} \oplus C^*_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_1}] \rangle \\ &= \langle [C_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_1}] \rangle \langle [C^*_{X_1}], [K^*_{X_1}] \rangle \\ &= \langle \widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Modified Ringel-Hall algebras

Let ε be an essentially small exact category, linear over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$. Assume that ε has finite morphism and extension spaces:

$$|\operatorname{Hom}(A, B)| < \infty, \quad |\operatorname{Ext}^1(A, B)| < \infty, \ \forall A, B \in \varepsilon.$$

Given objects $A, B, C \in \varepsilon$, define $\operatorname{Ext}^1(A, C)_B \subseteq \operatorname{Ext}^1(A, C)$ as the subset parameterizing extensions whose middle term is isomorphic to B. We define the Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$ to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space whose basis is formed by the isomorphism classes $[A]$ of objects A of ε, with the multiplication defined by

$$[A] \diamond [C] = \sum_{B \in \mathrm{Iso}(\varepsilon)} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A, C)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A, C)|} [B].$$

It is well known that the algebra $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon)$ is associative and unital. The unit is given by $[0]$, where 0 is the zero object of ε, see [Rin2] and also [Rie, P, Hub, Br].

In the following, we always assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category which is essentially small with finite-dimensional homomorphism and extension spaces.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ be the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., for $L, M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, the Hall product is defined to be the following sum:

$$[L] \diamond [M] = \sum_{[X]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(L, M)_X|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(L, M)|}[X].$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$-graded algebra, where $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ is the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ be the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ generated by all differences $[L]-[K\oplus M]$ if there is a short exact sequence $K \rightarrowtail L \twoheadrightarrow M$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ with K acyclic. Then $I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is generated by $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$-homogeneous elements.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ be the quotient algebra. So $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is also a $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$-graded algebra. We also denote by $\diamond$ the induced multiplication in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, then*

$$[M] \diamond [K] = \frac{1}{\langle [M], [K]\rangle}[M \oplus K],$$

in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. In particular, for any $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$[K_1] \diamond [K_2] = \frac{1}{\langle [K_1], [K_2]\rangle}[K_1 \oplus K_2]$$

in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

Proof. For every short exact sequence $K \rightarrowtail X \twoheadrightarrow M$, we get that $[X] - [K \oplus M] \in I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
[M] \diamond [K] &= \sum_{X\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K)_X|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K)|}[X] \\
&= \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M, K)|}[M \oplus K] \\
&= \frac{1}{\langle [M], [K]\rangle}[M \oplus K],
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.4. □

In order to define our desire Ringel-Hall algebra, we need take a localization of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

Let A be a ring with identity 1, and S a subset of A closed under multiplication, and $1 \in S$. Recall that a *right localization of A with respect to S* is a ring R and a ring map $i : A \to R$ such that

(i) $i(s)$ is a unit in R for each $s \in S$,
(ii) every element of R has the form $i(a)i(s)^{-1}$ for some $a \in A$, $s \in S$,
(iii) $i(a)i(s)^{-1} = i(b)i(s)^{-1}$ if and only if $at = bt$ for some $t \in S$.

Such a R is a *universal S-inverting* ring, and so is unique. Thus we can safely denote R by AS^{-1} when it exists. We will suppress the map i and write the elements of AS^{-1} as as^{-1}.

We call S satisfies *right Ore condition*, if for all $a \in A$ and $s \in S$, there exists $a_1 \in A$ and $s_1 \in S$ such that $sa_1 = as_1$. We say S is *right reversible* if for any $a \in A$, $s \in S$ and $sa = 0$ in A, then there exists $t \in S$ such that $at = 0$ in A.

Øre's localization Theorem states that the right localization AS^{-1} exists if and only if S is a right Ore, right reversible subset of A.

Now we return to consider the algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, and set $S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ formed by all $q[K]$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$. It is not hard to see that $S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset with the identity $[0] \in S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The multiplicatively closed subset $S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a right Ore, right reversible subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. Equivalently, the right localization of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ with respect to $S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ exists, and we denote it by $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$.*

Proof. Easily, all the classes $[M]$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes are generators of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$.

First, for any $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complex M and acyclic complex K, we get that

$$[K] \diamond [M] = \sum_{N \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K,M)|}[N].$$

We choose and fix a short exact sequence $0 \to M \xrightarrow{f_N} N \xrightarrow{g_N} K \to 0$ for each $[N] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ satisfying $|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N| \neq 0$. Setting $N = N^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} N^1$ we denote $\mathrm{Cone}(1_N)$ the complex

$$N^0 \oplus N^1 \underset{\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 1 \\ 0 & -d_0 \end{pmatrix}}{\overset{\begin{pmatrix} d_0 & 1 \\ 0 & -d_1 \end{pmatrix}}{\rightleftarrows}} N^1 \oplus N^0.$$

Then there is a natural surjective morphism $s : \mathrm{Cone}(1_M)^* \to M$, and so there is quasi-isomorphism which is surjective:

$$g_2 = (f_N, s) : M \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^* \to N,$$

and then a short exact sequence

$$0 \to A_{(f_N,g_N)} \xrightarrow{g_1} M \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^* \xrightarrow{g_2} N \to 0,$$

where $A_{(f_N,g_N)}$ is acyclic. For simplicity, we denote $A_{(f_N,g_N)}$ by A_N in the following. Then

$$[N] \diamond [A_N] = \frac{1}{\langle [N], [A_N] \rangle}[M \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^*].$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{1} & M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\
A_N & \xrightarrow{g_1} & M \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^* & \xrightarrow{g_2} & N \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
A_N & \xrightarrow{s_1} & \mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^* & \xrightarrow{s_2} & K,
\end{array}$$

where the middle column is the split short exact sequence. Since the first two rows and all columns in the above diagram are short exact sequences, we get that there exists s_1, s_2 such that

$$0 \to A_N \xrightarrow{s_1} \mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^* \xrightarrow{s_2} K \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence. Then

$$[K]\diamond[A_N]=\frac{1}{\langle[K],[A_N]\rangle}[\mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^*].$$

Let

$$[C]=[\bigoplus_{[L]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2})(\mathcal{A}),|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_L|\neq 0} A_L],$$

and

$$[D_L]=[\bigoplus_{[L']\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2})(\mathcal{A}),|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_{L'}|\neq 0,L'\ncong L} A_{L'}]$$

for each $[L]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2})(\mathcal{A})$. Then $[C]=\langle A_L,D_L\rangle[A_L]\diamond[D_L]$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ for each $[L]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2})(\mathcal{A})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(2)\qquad & [K]\diamond([M]\diamond[C])\\
=\ & \sum_{N\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N|\langle[A_N],[D_N]\rangle}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K,M)|}[N]\diamond[A_N]\diamond[D_N]\\
=\ & \sum_{N\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N|\langle[A_N],[D_N]\rangle}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K,M)|\langle[N],[A_N]\rangle}[M\oplus\mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^*]\diamond[D_N]\\
=\ & \sum_{N\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N|\langle[A_N],[D_N]\rangle\langle[M],[\mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^*]\rangle}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K,M)|\langle[N],[A_N]\rangle}[M]\diamond[\mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^*]\diamond[D_N]\\
=\ & \sum_{N\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N|\langle[A_N],[D_N]\rangle\langle[M],[\mathrm{Cone}(1_N)^*]\rangle}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K,M)|\langle[M],[A_N]\rangle}[M]\diamond[K]\diamond[A_N]\diamond[D_N]\\
=\ & \sum_{N\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K,M)_N|\langle[M],[K]\rangle}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K,M)|}[M]\diamond[K]\diamond[C]\\
=\ & \frac{\langle[M],[K]\rangle}{\langle[K],[M]\rangle}[M]\diamond[K]\diamond[C]\\
=\ & [M]\diamond(\frac{\langle[M],[K]\rangle}{\langle[K],[M]\rangle\langle[K],[C]\rangle}[K\oplus C]).
\end{aligned}$$

So $S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ satisfies the right Ore condition.

If $[K]\diamond(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[M_i])=0$, then by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ a $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$-graded algebra, we can assume that all $M_i, 1\leq i\leq n$ are in the same class of $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$. By the equality (2), we get that

$$0=[K]\diamond(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[M_i])\diamond[T]=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\frac{\langle[M_i],[K]\rangle}{\langle[K],[M_i]\rangle}[M_i]\diamond[K]\diamond[T],$$

for some $T\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$. By our assumption, $\frac{\langle M_i,K\rangle}{\langle K,M_i\rangle}$ are equal for all $1\leq i\leq n$, which is denoted by b. Note that $b\neq 0$. So

$$(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[M_i])\diamond(b[K\oplus T])=\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib\langle[K],[T]\rangle[M_i]\diamond[K]\diamond[T]=0.$$

Thus $S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a right reversible subset. □

We also denote the multiplication in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$ by $\diamond$.

Definition 3.3. *For any hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$ which is essentially small with finite-dimensional homomorphism and extension spaces. $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$ is called the ($\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded) modified Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$, and denoted by $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Lemma 3.4. *For any $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, we have*

$$[K] \diamond [M] = \frac{1}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle}[K \oplus M] = \frac{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle}[M] \diamond [K]$$
$$[K_1]^{-1} \diamond [K_2]^{-1} = \langle [K_2],[K_1]\rangle [K_1 \oplus K_2]^{-1},$$
$$[K_1]^{-1} \diamond [K_2] = \frac{\langle [K_1],[K_2]\rangle}{\langle [K_2],[K_1]\rangle}[K_2] \diamond [K_1]^{-1}$$

in $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. For the first one, from the equality (2) in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get that

$$[K] \diamond [M] \diamond [C] = \frac{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle}[M] \diamond [K] \diamond [C]$$

for some acyclic complex C, which implies that

$$[K] \diamond [M] = \frac{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle}[M] \diamond [K]$$

in $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

The remaining two follow from Lemma 3.1 easily. □

In the following, we describe a basis of the modified Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

Consider the set $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ of isomorphism classes $[K]$ of acyclic $\mathbb{Z}/2$-complexes and its quotient by the following set of relations:

$$\langle [K_2] = [K_1 \oplus K_3] | K_1 \rightarrowtail K_2 \twoheadrightarrow K_3 \text{ is a short exact sequence} \rangle.$$

If we endow $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ with the addition given by direct sums, this quotient gives the *Grothendieck monoid* $M_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$. Define the *quantum affine space* of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded acyclic complexes $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ as the $\mathbb{Q}$-monoid algebra of the Grothendieck monoid $M_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$, with the multiplication twisted by the inverse of the Euler form, i.e. the product of classes of acyclic complexes $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as follows:

$$[K_1] \diamond [K_2] := \frac{1}{\langle [K_1],[K_2]\rangle}[K_1 \oplus K_2].$$

Define the *quantum torus* of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded acyclic complexes $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ as the $\mathbb{Q}$-group algebra of $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$, with the multiplication twisted by the inverse of the Euler form as above. Note that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is the right localization of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the set formed by all the classes of acyclic complexes.

Note that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is a $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$-bimodule with the bimodule structure induced by the Hall product.

We also define $I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ to be the linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ spanned by

$$\{[L] - [K \oplus M] | K \rightarrowtail L \twoheadrightarrow M \text{ is a short exact sequence in } \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ with } K \text{ acyclic}\}.$$

Similar to [Gor], we define on $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ a bimodule structure over $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ by the rule

$$[K] \diamond [M] := \frac{1}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle}[K \oplus M], \quad [M] \diamond [K] := \frac{1}{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}[M \oplus K]. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, we set

$$(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}] := \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}),$$

which is a bimodule over the quantum torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3.5. *The bimodule structure of* $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ *is induced by the Hall product.*

Proof. We denote by $q : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ the natural projection. For any $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q([M]\diamond[K]) &= q(\sum_{[N]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(M,K)_N|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(M,K)|}[N]) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}[M\oplus K] \\ &= [M]\diamond[K], \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds since $q([N]) = [M \oplus K]$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ for any N with $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M,K)_N \neq 0$.

On the other hand, similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2, there exists an acyclic complex C such that

$$q([K]\diamond[M]\diamond[C]) = \frac{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle} q([M]\diamond[K]\diamond[C]).$$

From the above, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} q([K]\diamond[M])\diamond[C] &= q([K]\diamond[M]\diamond[C]) \\ &= \frac{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle} q([M]\diamond[K]\diamond[C]) \\ &= \frac{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle} q([M]\diamond[K])\diamond[C] \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle} q([M\oplus K])\diamond[C]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[C]$ is invertible in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, we get that

$$q([K]\diamond[M]) = \frac{1}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle} q([M\oplus K]) = [K]\diamond[M]$$

in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, where we also use $q : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ to denote the natural projection. □

For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\widehat{A} = \widehat{B} = \alpha$, obviously, $[K_A] = [K_B]$, $[K^*_A] = [K^*_B]$ in both $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ and $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$.

For any $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, there exists $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha = \widehat{A} - \widehat{B}$, we set K_α to be $\frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_A]\diamond [K_B]^{-1}$. Then K_α is well-defined in both $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ and $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. In fact, we only need to check that K_α does not depend on the selection of $[A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ for $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

If $\alpha = \widehat{A} - \widehat{B} = \widehat{A'} - \widehat{B'}$ for $A, B, A', B' \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_A] \diamond [K_B]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B'}\rangle}[K_{A'}] \diamond [K_{B'}]^{-1}) \diamond [K_B] \diamond [K_{B'}] \\
= & \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_A] \diamond [K_{B'}] - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B'}\rangle} \frac{\langle \widehat{B'}, \widehat{B}\rangle}{\langle \widehat{B}, \widehat{B'}\rangle}[K_{A'}] \diamond [K_B] \\
= & \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle} \frac{1}{\langle \widehat{A}, \widehat{B'}\rangle}[K_A \oplus K_{B'}] - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B'}\rangle} \frac{\langle \widehat{B'}, \widehat{B}\rangle}{\langle \widehat{B}, \widehat{B'}\rangle} \frac{1}{\langle \widehat{A'}, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_{A'} \oplus K_B] \\
= & \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle} \frac{1}{\langle \widehat{A}, \widehat{B'}\rangle}[K_A \oplus K_{B'}] - \frac{1}{\langle \widehat{A}, \widehat{B'}\rangle} \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_{A'} \oplus K_B].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{A'}+\widehat{B} = \widehat{A}+\widehat{B'}$ in $K_0(\mathcal{A})$, we get that $[K_A \oplus K_{B'}] = [K_{A'} \oplus K_B]$ in both $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ and $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. So

$$(\frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_A] \diamond [K_B]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B'}\rangle}[K_{A'}] \diamond [K_{B'}]^{-1}) \diamond [K_B] \diamond [K_{B'}] = 0,$$

and then

$$\frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K_A] \diamond [K_B]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B'}\rangle}[K_{A'}] \diamond [K_{B'}]^{-1} = 0$$

in both $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ and $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus K_α is well-defined.

Similarly, for any $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, we set K^*_α to be $\frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{B}\rangle}[K^*_A] \diamond [K^*_B]^{-1}$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\alpha = \widehat{A} - \widehat{B}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $M = (\ M^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1\ )$. *In both* $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ *and* $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[M] = & \ \widehat{\langle \operatorname{Im} f^0, \operatorname{Im} f^1\rangle} \widehat{\langle \operatorname{Im} f^0, H^0(M)\rangle} \widehat{\langle \operatorname{Im} f^1, H^1(M)\rangle} \\
& [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}] \diamond [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove it in $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ since the other one is similar.

From Lemma 2.1 and its proof, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K_{\ker f^0} \to \pi(U) \to M \to 0,$$

where

$$U = \cdots \to 0 \to M^0 \xrightarrow{l^0} Z^0 \xrightarrow{h^0 p^0 q^0} \ker f^0 \to 0 \to \cdots .$$

Then

$$[K_{\ker f^0}] \diamond [M] = \frac{1}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle}[\pi(U)]. \tag{4}$$

Note that l^0 is injective. So there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K_{M^0} \to \pi(U) \to V := (\ \ker f^0 \underset{g^0}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0\ ) \to 0,$$

where g^0 is induced by f^1. Then

$$[K_{M^0}] \diamond [V] = \frac{1}{\langle [K_{M^0}], [V]\rangle}[\pi(U)]. \tag{5}$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(H^0(M), -)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker g^0 \to M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0 \to \operatorname{Im} g^0 \to 0,$$

we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\ker g^0 & \longrightarrow & M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Im} g^0 \cong \operatorname{Im} f^1 \\
\| & & \vdots & & \downarrow \\
\ker g^0 & \dashrightarrow & X^0 & \dashrightarrow & \ker f^0 \\
 & & \vdots & & \downarrow \\
 & & H^0(M) & = & H^0(M).
\end{array}$$

So there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K^*_{\ker g^0} \to W := (\ X^0 \underset{}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} \ker g^0 \oplus M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0\ ) \to V \to 0,$$

and then

$$[K^*_{\ker g^0}] \diamond [V] = \frac{1}{\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}], [V]\rangle}[W]. \tag{6}$$

There is also a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0} \to W \to [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}] \to 0,$$

and then

$$[K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}] = \frac{1}{\langle [K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0}], [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle}[W]. \tag{7}$$

From the above identities (4)-(7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[M] &= \frac{1}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle}[K_{\ker f^0}]^{-1} \diamond [\pi(U)] \\
&= \frac{\langle [K_{M^0}], [V]\rangle}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle}[K_{\ker f^0}]^{-1} \diamond [K_{M^0}] \diamond [V] \\
&= \frac{\langle [K_{M^0}], [V]\rangle\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\rangle}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle}[K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [V] \\
&= \frac{\langle [K_{M^0}], [V]\rangle\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\rangle}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}], [V]\rangle}[K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [K^*_{\ker g^0}]^{-1} \diamond [W] \\
&= \frac{\langle [K_{M^0}], [V]\rangle\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0}], [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}], [V]\rangle} \\
&\quad [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [K^*_{\ker g^0}]^{-1} \diamond [K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}] \\
&= \frac{\langle [K_{M^0}], [V]\rangle\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0}], [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}], [K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}]\rangle}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}], [M]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}], [V]\rangle} \\
&\quad [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}] \diamond [K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}] \diamond [C^*_{H^0(M)} \oplus C_{H^1(M)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\langle [K_{M^0}],[V]\rangle\langle [K_{\ker f^0}],[K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{M^1/\operatorname{Im} f^0}],[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}],[K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}]\rangle}{\langle [K_{\ker f^0}],[M]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\ker g^0}],[V]\rangle}\\
=\ &\langle [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}],[V]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}],[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle\\
=\ &\langle [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}],[K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}]\rangle\langle [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}],[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}],[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[M] \ =\ &\langle [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}],[K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}]\rangle\langle [K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}],[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle\langle [K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}],[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\rangle\\
&[K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\diamond[K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}]\diamond[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]\\
=\ &\langle \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0},\widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1}\rangle\langle \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0},\widehat{H^0(M)}\rangle\langle \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1},\widehat{H^1(M)}\rangle\\
&[K_{\operatorname{Im} f^0}]\diamond[K^*_{\operatorname{Im} f^1}]\diamond[C^*_{H^0(M)}\oplus C_{H^1(M)}]
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 immediately. □

In the following, we describe a basis of $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, and after then, we prove that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ and $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$-bimodules. Before that, we give some results which are crucial to get the basis.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an abelian category. For any objects*

$$U=(\ U^0\underset{k^1}{\overset{k^0}{\rightleftarrows}}U^1\ ),V=(\ V^0\underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}}V^1\ ),W=(\ W^0\underset{e^1}{\overset{e^0}{\rightleftarrows}}W^1\ )\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{B}),$$

if there is a short exact sequence $0\to U\xrightarrow{h_1}V\xrightarrow{h_2}W\to 0$, then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) $0\to\operatorname{Im}k^i\xrightarrow{t^i_1}\operatorname{Im}f^i\xrightarrow{t^i_2}\operatorname{Im}e^i\to 0$ *is short exact for each $i=0,1$.*

(ii) $0\to\ker k^i\xrightarrow{s^i_1}\ker f^i\xrightarrow{s^i_2}\ker e^i\to 0$ *is short exact for each $i=0,1$.*

(iii) $0\to H^i(U)\xrightarrow{r^i_1}H^i(V)\xrightarrow{r^i_2}H^i(W)\to 0$ *is short exact for each $i=0,1$.*

Here the morphisms are induced by h_1 and h_2.

In particular, if U or W is acyclic, then $\widehat{\operatorname{Im}f^0}=\widehat{\operatorname{Im}k^0}+\widehat{\operatorname{Im}e^0}$ and $\widehat{\operatorname{Im}f^1}=\widehat{\operatorname{Im}k^1}+\widehat{\operatorname{Im}e^1}$ in $K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. "Snake Lemma" yields that the induced sequences

$$0\to\ker k^i\xrightarrow{s^i_1}\ker f^i\xrightarrow{s^i_2}\ker e^i$$

is exact for each $i=0,1$. We get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker k^i & \xrightarrow{s^i_1} & \ker f^i & \xrightarrow{s^i_2} & \ker e^i & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U^i & \longrightarrow & V^i & \longrightarrow & W^i & \longrightarrow & 0\\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \operatorname{Im}k^i & \xrightarrow{t^i_1} & \operatorname{Im}f^i & \xrightarrow{t^i_2} & \operatorname{Im}e^i & &
\end{array}$$

with the columns exact.

From the above commutative diagram, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from the "Snake Lemma" immediately. Furthermore, we also get that t^i_2 is surjective for each $i=0,1$.

We also get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & \operatorname{Im} k^i & \xrightarrow{t_1^i} & \operatorname{Im} f^i & \xrightarrow{t_2^i} & \operatorname{Im} e^i & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker k^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{s_1^i} & \ker f^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{s_2^i} & \ker e^{i+1} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H^{i+1}(U) & \xrightarrow{r_1^i} & H^{i+1}(V) & \xrightarrow{r_2^i} & H^{i+1}(W) & &
\end{array}$$

with the columns exact.

(iii)⇒(ii): Since r_2^i and t_2^i are surjective, we get that s_2^i is also surjective, and then $0 \to \ker k^i \to \ker f^i \to \ker e^i \to 0$ is short exact for each $i = 0, 1$.

(ii)⇒(iii): Since $0 \to \ker k^i \to \ker f^i \to \ker e^i \to 0$ is short exact for $i = 0, 1$, from the equivalence of (i) and (ii), we get that $0 \to \operatorname{Im} k^i \to \operatorname{Im} f^i \to \operatorname{Im} e^i \to 0$ is short exact for $i = 0, 1$. So $0 \to H^i(U) \xrightarrow{r_1^i} H^i(V) \xrightarrow{r_2^i} H^i(W) \to 0$ is short exact for each $i = 0, 1$.

In particular, if U or W is acyclic, then (iii) is true, which implies that (i) holds, so $\widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^i} = \widehat{\operatorname{Im} k^i} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^i}$ for each $i = 0, 1$. □

Note that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is an extension-closed subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ and so an exact category. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ be the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$, which implies that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$-bimodule.

We set G to be the following set

$$G := \{(\alpha, \beta, [A], [B]) \,|\, \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}), [A], [B] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

For any $[A], [B] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$, we denote the subset $\{(\alpha, \beta, [A], [B]) \,|\, \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})\}$ by $G(A, B)$. It is not hard to see that for any $G(A, B)$ is an abelian group with the group structure induced by $K_0(\mathcal{A})$. It is natural to identify $G(0, 0)$ with the group $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \times K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a G-graded linear space, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) = \bigoplus_{(\alpha,\beta,[A],[B])\in G} \Big(\bigoplus_{\substack{\widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1} = \beta, \\ H^0(M) \simeq A, H^1(M) \simeq B}} \mathbb{Q}[M] \Big),$$

where $M = (\ M^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1\ )$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})) = \bigoplus_{(\alpha,\beta)\in G(0,0)} \Big(\bigoplus_{\widehat{\operatorname{Im} d^0}=\alpha, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} d^1}=\beta} \mathbb{Q}[K] \Big),$$

where $K = (\ K^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} K^1\ )$.

Lemma 3.8. *(i) $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ is a $G(0, 0)$-graded algebra.*

(ii) Let $h \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$, $v \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$ be the homogeneous elements of grades $(\alpha, \beta, [A], [B]) \in G$ and $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G(0, 0)$ respectively. Then $h \diamond v$ is homogeneous of grade $(\alpha + \gamma_1, \beta + \gamma_2, [A], [B])$.

(iii) $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$ is a G-graded linear space.

Proof. Since (i) is a speical case of (ii), we only need to prove (ii). Without loss of generality, we only prove for the case $h = [M] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$, $v = [K] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$.

For any complexes $M = (\ M^0 \underset{e^1}{\overset{e^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1\ ) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}), K = (\ K^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} K^1\ ) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$, the grade of $[M]$ (resp. K) is $(\widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^0}, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^1}, [H^0(M)], [H^1(M)])$ (resp. $(\widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0}, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1})$). Then

$$[M] \diamond [K] = \sum_{[N] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M,K)_N|}{|\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M,K)|}[N],$$

where $N = (\ N^0 \underset{g^1_N}{\overset{g^0_N}{\rightleftarrows}} N^1\ )$. If $|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(M,K)_N| \neq 0$, then there exists a short exact sequence $0 \to K \to N \to M \to 0$. Proposition 3.7 yields that

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Im} g^0_N} = \widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^0} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0}, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} g^1_N} = \widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^1} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1},$$

which are same for any N if $|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(A,K)_N| \neq 0$. Thus $[M] \diamond [K]$ is G-homogeneous of grade $(\widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^0} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0}, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} e^1} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1}, [H^0(M)], [H^1(M)])$.

(iii) From Proposition 3.7, for any short exact sequence $0 \to K \to M \to N \to 0$ with K acyclic, we get that $[M] - [K \oplus N]$ is a G-homogeneous element, which implies that $I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a homogeneous linear subspace, and then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a G-graded linear space. Similar to (ii), it is not hard to see that $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ is a G-graded linear space. □

Theorem 3.9. *$(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ has a basis consisting of elements*

$$[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^*, \quad [A], [B] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. Lemma 3.8 shows that $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ is a G-graded linear space, and the grade of $[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^*$ is $(\alpha, \beta, [A], [B]) \in G$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}), [A], [B] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$.

We assume that

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}) \\ [A], [B] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})}} a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^* = 0$$

in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, where $a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^* = 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}), [A], [B] \in \operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$, since $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ is a G-graded linear space.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, we choose the objects $A_1^\alpha, A_2^\alpha, B_1^\beta, B_2^\beta$ and fix them in the following, such that $\alpha = \widehat{A_1^\alpha} - \widehat{A_2^\alpha}$ and $\beta = \widehat{B_1^\beta} - \widehat{B_2^\beta}$. Recall that

$$K_\alpha = \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{A_2^\alpha} \rangle}[K_{A_1^\alpha}] \diamond [K_{A_2^\alpha}]^{-1}, \quad K_\beta^* = \frac{1}{\langle \beta, \widehat{B_2^\beta} \rangle}[K^*_{B_1^\beta}] \diamond [K^*_{B_2^\beta}]^{-1}.$$

So we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^* \diamond [K_{A_2^\alpha} \oplus K^*_{B_2^\beta}] \\
= \ & a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} \frac{\langle \widehat{A_2^\alpha}, \widehat{B_2^\beta} \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{A_2^\alpha} \rangle \langle \beta, \widehat{B_2^\beta} \rangle}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond [K_{A_1^\alpha}] \diamond [K_{A_2^\alpha}]^{-1} \diamond [K^*_{B_1^\beta}] \diamond [K^*_{B_2^\beta}]^{-1} \diamond [K_{A_2^\alpha}] \diamond [K^*_{B_2^\beta}] \\
= \ & a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} \frac{\langle \widehat{A_2^\alpha}, \widehat{B_2^\beta} \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{A_2^\alpha} \rangle \langle \beta, \widehat{B_2^\beta} \rangle} \frac{\langle \widehat{A_2^\alpha}, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \widehat{A_2^\alpha} \rangle}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond [K_{A_1^\alpha}] \diamond [K^*_{B_1^\beta}]
\end{aligned}$$

in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\langle \widehat{A_2^\alpha}, \widehat{B_2^\beta}\rangle}{\langle \alpha, \widehat{A_2^\alpha}\rangle\langle \beta, \widehat{B_2^\beta}\rangle}\frac{\langle \widehat{A_2^\alpha}, \beta\rangle}{\langle \beta, \widehat{A_2^\alpha}\rangle}$$

only depend on $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, and we denote it by $b_{\alpha,\beta}$. Note that $b_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} b_{\alpha,\beta}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond [K_{A_1^\alpha}] \diamond [K^*_{B_1^\beta}] = 0$$

in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$.

The definition of the bimodule structure of $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ implies that there exists $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} b_{\alpha,\beta}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond [K_{A_1^\alpha}] \diamond [K^*_{B_1^\beta}] \diamond [U] = 0$$

in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} b_{\alpha,\beta}[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond [K_{A_1^\alpha}] \diamond [K^*_{B_1^\beta}] \diamond [U] \\ = \;& a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} b_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]}[C_A \oplus C_B^* \oplus K_{A_1^\alpha} \oplus K^*_{B_1^\beta} \oplus U] \in I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$, where

$$c_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} = \frac{1}{\langle [C_A \oplus C_B^*], [K_{A_1^\alpha} \oplus K^*_{B_1^\beta} \oplus U]\rangle \langle [K_{A_1^\alpha}], [K^*_{B_1^\beta} \oplus U]\rangle \langle [K^*_{B_1^\beta}], [U]\rangle}.$$

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} b_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]}[C_A \oplus C_B^* \oplus K_{A_1^\alpha} \oplus K^*_{B_1^\beta} \oplus U] \\ = \;& \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i([M_i] - [K_i \oplus N_i]), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $K_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}), M_i, N_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfy that there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K_i \to M_i \to N_i \to 0$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the isomorphism classes form a basis of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$, specializing all of them to be the identity element, we get that

$$a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} b_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(1-1) = 0.$$

It is not hard to see that $b_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} > 0$, we get that $a_{\alpha,\beta,[A],[B]} = 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}), [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$. So

$$[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^*,\ \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}), [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$$

is a linear independent subset of $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$.

On the other hand, from Proposition 3.6 we get that

$$[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond K_\alpha \diamond K_\beta^*,\ \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}), [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$$

span the whole space, and then it is a basis of $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$. □

Now, we prove that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ and $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$-bimodules.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $0 \to K \xrightarrow{h_1} M \xrightarrow{h_2} N \to 0$ be a short exact sequence with K acyclic. Then for any L we have*

$$q([L] \diamond ([M] - [K \oplus N])) = 0,$$

where $q : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ is the natural projection.

Proof. Proposition 3.6 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} q([L] \diamond [M]) &= \sum_{[V] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,M)_V|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,M)|} q([V]) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{[A],[B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}),\\ \alpha,\beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})}} \sum_{\substack{[V], V = (\ V^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} V^1\ ) \\ H^0(V) \simeq A, H^1(V) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1} = \beta}} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \alpha, \widehat{A} \rangle \langle \beta, \widehat{B} \rangle \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,M)_V|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,M)|} \\ &\quad [K_\alpha] \diamond [K^*_\beta] \diamond [C_A \oplus C^*_B]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} q([L] \diamond [K \oplus N]) &= \sum_{[U] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,K\oplus N)_U|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,K\oplus N)|} q([U]) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{[A],[B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}),\\ \alpha,\beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})}} \sum_{\substack{[U], U = (\ U^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} U^1\ ) \\ H^0(U) \simeq A, H^1(U) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Im} d^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} d^1} = \beta}} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \alpha, \widehat{A} \rangle \langle \beta, \widehat{B} \rangle \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,K\oplus N)_U|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,K\oplus N)|} \\ &\quad [K_\alpha] \diamond [K^*_\beta] \diamond [C_A \oplus C^*_B] \end{aligned}$$

So we only need to check that for any $[A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$, $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\sum_{\substack{[V], V = (\ V^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} V^1\ ) \\ H^0(V) \simeq A, H^1(V) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} f^1} = \beta}} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,M)_V|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,M)|} = \sum_{\substack{[U], U = (\ U^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} U^1\ ) \\ H^0(U) \simeq A, H^1(U) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\operatorname{Im} d^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\operatorname{Im} d^1} = \beta}} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,K\oplus N)_U|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,K\oplus N)|}. \tag{8}$$

Applying $\operatorname{Hom}(L,-)$ to the short exact sequence $0 \to K \xrightarrow{h_1} M \xrightarrow{h_2} N \to 0$, we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(L,K) \to \operatorname{Hom}(L,M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(L,N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(L,K) \\ &\to \operatorname{Ext}^1(L,M) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \operatorname{Ext}^1(L,N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^2(L,K) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So φ is surjective. More precisely, for any $\xi \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(L,M)$, which is represented by $0 \to M \xrightarrow{f_1} V \xrightarrow{f_2} L \to 0$, then $\varphi(\xi)$ is represented by $0 \to N \xrightarrow{g_1} W \xrightarrow{g_2} L \to 0$ constructed by pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{h_1} & M & \xrightarrow{h_2} & N \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^1} \\ K & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & W \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_2} \\ & & L & = & L. \end{array}$$

Let $K = (\ K^0 \underset{k^1}{\overset{k^0}{\rightleftarrows}} K^1\ )$. For any $[A],[B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$, $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, we set

$$\mathcal{V}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} := \bigsqcup_{\substack{[V],\, V = (\ V^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} V^1\ ) \\ H^0(V) \simeq A,\, H^1(V) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0} = \alpha,\, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^1} = \beta}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L,M)_V$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} := \bigsqcup_{\substack{[W],\, W = (\ W^0 \underset{e^1}{\overset{e^0}{\rightleftarrows}} W^1\ ) \\ H^0(W) \simeq A,\, H^1(W) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, e^0} = \alpha - \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, k^0},\, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, e^1} = \beta - \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, k^1}}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L,N)_W.$$

Combined with Proposition 3.7, φ induces a surjective map $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} \to \mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}$. It is easy to see that $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta})$. For any $\xi \in \mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}$, we get that $|\Phi^{-1}(\xi)| = |\Phi^{-1}(0)| = |\ker\varphi|$, where $0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(L,N)$. From the long exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(L,K) \to \mathrm{Hom}(L,M) \to \mathrm{Hom}(L,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L,K) \to \ker\varphi \to 0$, we get that

$$|\ker\varphi| = \frac{|\mathrm{Ext}^1(L,K)||\mathrm{Hom}(L,M)|}{|\mathrm{Hom}(L,K)||\mathrm{Hom}(L,N)|}. \tag{9}$$

So

$$|\mathcal{V}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| = |\mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| \frac{|\mathrm{Ext}^1(L,K)||\mathrm{Hom}(L,M)|}{|\mathrm{Hom}(L,K)||\mathrm{Hom}(L,N)|}.$$

On the other hand, we set

$$\mathcal{U}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} := \bigsqcup_{\substack{[U],\, U = (\ U^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} U^1\ ) \\ H^0(U) \simeq A,\, H^1(U) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, d^0} = \alpha,\, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, d^1} = \beta}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, K \oplus N)_U.$$

Similarly, applying $\mathrm{Hom}(L,-)$ to the split exact sequence $0 \to K \to K \oplus N \to N \to 0$, we can get a surjective map $\Psi : \mathcal{U}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} \to \mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}$, and

$$|\mathcal{U}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| = |\mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}||\mathrm{Ext}^1(L,K)|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LHS of the equation (8)} &= \frac{|\mathcal{V}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,M)|}\\ &= \frac{|\mathcal{W}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}||\operatorname{Ext}^1(L,K)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,K)||\operatorname{Hom}(L,N)|}\\ &= \frac{|\mathcal{U}_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(L,K\oplus N)|}\\ &= \text{RHS of the equation (8).}\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Dually, we also get the following result.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $0\to K\xrightarrow{h_1} M\xrightarrow{h_2} N\to 0$ be a short exact sequence with K acyclic. Then for any L, we have*

$$q(([M]-[K\oplus N])\diamond[L])=0,$$

where $q:\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{A}))\to(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ is the natural projection.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 3.10, we only need to check that for any $[A],[B]\in\operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\alpha,\beta\in K_0(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\sum_{\substack{[V],V=(\,V^0\underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}}V^1\,)\\ H^0(V)\simeq A,H^1(V)\simeq B\\ \widehat{\operatorname{Im}f^0}=\alpha,\widehat{\operatorname{Im}f^1}=\beta}}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(M,L)_V|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(M,L)|}=\sum_{\substack{[U],U=(\,U^0\underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}}U^1\,)\\ H^0(U)\simeq A,H^1(U)\simeq B\\ \widehat{\operatorname{Im}d^0}=\alpha,\widehat{\operatorname{Im}d^1}=\beta}}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(K\oplus N,L)_U|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K\oplus N,L)|}. \tag{10}$$

Let $M=\ M^0\underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}}M^1$ and $\operatorname{Cone}(1_M)$ be the complex:

$$M^0\oplus M^1\ \underset{\begin{pmatrix}d_1 & 1\\ 0 & -d_0\end{pmatrix}}{\overset{\begin{pmatrix}d_0 & 1\\ 0 & -d_1\end{pmatrix}}{\rightleftarrows}}\ M^1\oplus M^0.$$

Then there is a natural injective morphism $s:M\to\operatorname{Cone}(1_M)$, which yields a quasi-isomorphism which is injective:

$$g_1:=\begin{pmatrix}h_2\\ s\end{pmatrix}:M\to N\oplus\operatorname{Cone}(1_M),$$

and then there is a short exact sequence

$$0\to M\xrightarrow{g_1}N\oplus\operatorname{Cone}(1_M)\xrightarrow{g_2}C\to 0, \tag{11}$$

where C is acyclic.

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \overset{s_1}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathrm{Cone}(1_M) & \overset{s_2}{\dashrightarrow} & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} \\ M & \xrightarrow{g_1} & N \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_M) & \xrightarrow{g_2} & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_2} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N & \xrightarrow{1} & N & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Since the last two arrows and all collomns in the above diagram are short exact sequences, we get that there exists s_1, s_2 such that

$$0 \to K \xrightarrow{s_1} \mathrm{Cone}(1_M) \xrightarrow{s_2} C \to 0$$

is short exact. So there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K \oplus N \xrightarrow{t_1} N \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_M) \xrightarrow{t_2} C \to 0. \tag{12}$$

We assume that $C = (\ C^0 \underset{c^1}{\overset{c^0}{\rightleftarrows}} C^1\ )$ and $K = (\ K^0 \underset{k^1}{\overset{k^0}{\rightleftarrows}} K^1\ )$. Denote by

$$\mathcal{W}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} := \bigsqcup_{\substack{[W], W = (\ W^0 \underset{e^1}{\overset{e^0}{\rightleftarrows}} V^1\ ) \\ H^0(W) \simeq A, H^1(W) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, e^0} = \alpha + \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, c^0}, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, e^1} = \beta + \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, c^1}}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(N \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_M), L)_W,$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} := \bigsqcup_{\substack{[V], V = (\ V^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} V^1\ ) \\ H^0(V) \simeq A, H^1(V) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, e^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, e^1} = \beta}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(M, L)_V.$$

Dual to the proof of Lemma 3.10, we get that

$$|\mathcal{W}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| = |\mathcal{V}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}(N \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_M), L)||\operatorname{Ext}^1(C, L)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(C, L)||\operatorname{Hom}(M, L)|}.$$

On the other hand, denote by

$$\mathcal{U}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta} := \bigsqcup_{\substack{[U], U = (\ U^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightleftarrows}} U^1\ ) \\ H^0(U) \simeq A, H^1(U) \simeq B \\ \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, d^0} = \alpha, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, d^1} = \beta}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(K \oplus N, L)_U.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{W}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| = |\mathcal{U}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}| \frac{|\operatorname{Hom}(N \oplus \mathrm{Cone}(1_M), L)||\operatorname{Ext}^1(C, L)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(C, L)||\operatorname{Hom}(K \oplus N, L)|}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{|\mathcal{V}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(M, L)|} = \frac{|\mathcal{U}'_{[A],[B],\alpha,\beta}|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(K \oplus N, L)|},$$

and the equation (10) follows immediately. □

Theorem 3.12. *The natural projection* $q : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ *induces that* $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ *is isomorphic to* $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ *as* $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$*-bimodules.*

Proof. We check that $q([L_1] \diamond ([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond [L_2]) = 0$, for any $\mathbb{Z}/2$-complexes L_1, L_2 and any short exact sequence $K \rightarrowtail M \twoheadrightarrow N$ with K acyclic.

For any $L_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, and any short exact sequence $0 \to K \xrightarrow{h_1} M \xrightarrow{h_2} N \to 0$, Lemma 3.11 implies that there is an acyclic complex K_2 such that $q(([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond [L_2]) \diamond [K_2] = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. So $q(([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond L_2 \diamond [K_2]) = 0$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ since the right $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$-module structure of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is induced by the Hall product. Therefore,

$$([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond L_2 \diamond [K_2] = \sum_i a_i(M_i - [T_i \oplus N_i])$$

in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, T_i acyclic, and there is a short exact sequence $0 \to T_i \to M_i \to N_i \to 0$ for any i. So Lemma 3.10 implies that

$$q([L_1] \diamond ([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond [L_2] \diamond [K_2]) = 0$$

in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, and then $q([L_1] \diamond ([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond [L_2]) \diamond [K_2] = 0$, which yields that $q([L_1] \diamond ([M] - [K \oplus N]) \diamond [L_2]) = 0$ since $[K_2]$ is invertible in $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$. Then by the definition of $I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, we get that q induces a linear map

$$\bar{q} : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}],$$

and then a morphism $\widetilde{q} : (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}] \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ which maps as^{-1} to $1 \otimes a \otimes s^{-1}$ for any $as^{-1} \in (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, where $1 = [0]$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that the natural projection

$$p : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$$

induces a linear map $\widetilde{p} : (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}] \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$ directly, which maps $s_1^{-1} \otimes a \otimes s_2^{-1}$ to $s_1^{-1}as_2^{-1}$ for any $s_1^{-1} \otimes a \otimes s_2^{-1} \in (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$.

Easily, $\widetilde{p}\widetilde{q}$ and $\widetilde{q}\widetilde{p}$ are identity morphisms, so

$$(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}] \simeq (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$$

as linear spaces. Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 show that they are isomorphic as $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$-bimodules. □

From the above, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.13. $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ *has a basis consisting of elements*

$$[C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond [K_\alpha] \diamond [K_\beta^*], \quad [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.12 immediately. □

The following corollary describes the relation between $I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ and $I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ clearly.

Corollary 3.14. *For any* $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[M_i] \in I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ *for* $1 \le i \le n$, *there exists* $V \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$ *such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{1}{\langle [M_i], [V] \rangle} [M_i \oplus V] \in I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.12, we get that the natural projection

$$q : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$$

maps $I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ to be zero in $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$. By the definition of $(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$, we get that for any $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i[M_i] \in I_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, there exists $[V] \in S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ such that

$$q(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i[M_i]) \diamond [V] = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2},$$

where we also use q to denote the natural projection $q : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. Note that

$$q(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i[M_i]) \diamond [V] = q(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{1}{\langle [M_i], [V] \rangle}[M_i \oplus V])$$

by the definition of the module structure. So we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{1}{\langle [M_i], [V] \rangle}[M_i \oplus V] = 0$$

in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, and then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \frac{1}{\langle [M_i], [V] \rangle}[M_i \oplus V] \in I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. □

Corollary 3.15. *$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is a free module over the quantum torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$, with a basis given by $\{[C_A \oplus C_B^*] | [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})\}$.*

Proof. From Corollary 3.13, we get that

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{[A],[B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} [C_A \oplus C_B^*] \diamond \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}),$$

and then it is a free module over the quantum torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$. □

Remark 3.16. *Corollary 3.15 shows that the underlying linear space of $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is same as that used by Gorsky* [Gor] *to define* $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra *of $\mathcal{A}$ in case when $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projective objects.*

4. For Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra

In this section, as in Section 3 we also assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category which is essentially small with finite-dimensional homomorphism and extension spaces. As usual we use $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ to denote the Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ in Section 3. However, in this section, we assume that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$.

Denote by $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ its Grothendieck group, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ its Euler form and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the symmetrised Euler form, i.e., $(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 4.1 ([Rin3, Gr])**.** *(1) The twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is the same vector space as $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ with the twisted multiplication*

$$[A] * [B] = \sqrt{\langle \widehat{A}, \widehat{B} \rangle}[A] \diamond [B]$$

for any $[A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$.

(2) The twisted extended Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as an extension of $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ by adjoining symbols k_α for classes $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, and imposing relations

$$k_\alpha * k_\beta = k_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad k_\alpha * [B] = \sqrt{(\alpha, \widehat{B})}[B] * k_\alpha$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $[B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$. Note that $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ has a basis consisting of the elements $k_\alpha * [B]$ for $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $[B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$.

In fact, there is an isomorphism of vector spaces given by the multiplication

$$\mathbb{C}[K_0(\mathcal{A})] \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ the space of all formal linear combinations

$$\sum_{[A],[B]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} c_{A,B}[A]\otimes[B],$$

where $\widehat{\otimes}$ is called a *complete tensor product*. Similarly, we can define $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.

The coproduct, counit for $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ are given by Green [Gr], see also [X, Cr]:

$$\Delta : \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}), \epsilon : \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C},$$

$$\Delta([A]*k_\alpha) = \sum_{[B],[C]} \sqrt{\langle \widehat{B},\widehat{C}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(B,C)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B,C)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}}(B)||\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{A}}(C)|}([B]*k_{\widehat{C}+\alpha})\otimes[C]*k_\alpha, \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta(k_\alpha) = k_\alpha \otimes k_\alpha, \tag{14}$$

$$\epsilon([A]k_\alpha) = \delta_{[A],0}, \tag{15}$$

for $[A] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$. Then $(\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}), *, [0], \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a *topological bialgebra* defined over $\mathbb{C}$, see [Gr, X]. Here topological means that everything should be considered in the completed space.

Remark 4.2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is moreover a finite length hereditary category (for instance, the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of a finite quiver) then $(\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}), *, [0], \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a true bialgebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, by a work of Xiao* [X]*, where the antipode is defined, and then $(\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ has a natural Hopf algebra structure.*

The bilinear pairing $\varphi : \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi([M]*k_\alpha, [N]*k_\beta) = \sqrt{(\alpha,\beta)}\delta_{[M],[N]}|\operatorname{Aut}(M)| \tag{16}$$

is a *Hopf pairing* on $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ (see [Gr, Rin5]), that is, for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, one has

$$\varphi(x*y, z) = (x\otimes y, \Delta z).$$

Here we use the usual pairing on the product space: $\varphi(a\otimes a', b\otimes b') = \varphi(a,b)\varphi(a',b')$. Moreover, this pairing is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ and symmetric.

For any hereditary abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, there is a unique algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ satifying the following conditions, which is called the *Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, see [Dr, Jo, X], and also see [Gr, Cr, Sch1, BS1, BS2, DJX].

(D1) The maps

$$\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}), \quad a \mapsto a\otimes 1$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}), \quad a \mapsto 1\otimes a$$

are injective homomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. That is, for any $a, a', b, b' \in \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$(a\otimes 1)(a'\otimes 1) = aa'\otimes 1,$$
$$(1\otimes b)(1\otimes b') = 1\otimes bb'.$$

(D2) For any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, one has

$$(a\otimes 1)(1\otimes b) = (a\otimes b).$$

(D3) For any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, one has

$$\sum \varphi(a_{(2)}, b_{(1)}) a_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)} = \sum \varphi(a_{(1)}, b_{(2)})(1 \otimes b_{(2)})(a_{(2)} \otimes 1). \tag{17}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite length hereditary abelian category, then the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is again a (topological) Hopf algebra.

In general case, the sum in (17) may be infinite, and so the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ should be considered in the completed space. However, for a hereditary abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the so called *finitary condition* (which will be recalled in the following), Crame [Cr] proved that $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ itself is an associative algebra satisfying the conditions (D1)-(D3), which is also called the *Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra* of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 4.3 ([Cr]). *We say that an essentially small category $\mathcal{A}$ is finitary if* $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(A, B)$ *are finite sets for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, and if for some number k there is a homomorphism $d : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that*

(1) $d(K_0^+(\mathcal{A})) \subset \mathbb{N}^k \cup (\mathbb{N}^{k-1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}$,

(2) If $d([A]) = 0$, then $A = 0$.

Here $K_0^+(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the subset of $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to classes of objects in $\mathcal{A}$.

Note that this condition is satisfied by the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of a quiver over $\mathbb{F}_q$ which may have oriented cycles, and by the category of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective curve or a weighted projective line over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [Cr]). In particular, for the category of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{A}$ on a smooth projective curve or a weighted projective line, d can be chosen to be $d([M]) = (\mathrm{rank}(M), \deg(M))$.

In the following, we do not distinguish the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebras of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ in the completed space or not.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category. Similar to [Br, Gor], we also construct a twisted version of the algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. We define *the componentwise Euler form* on $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{cw} : \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \times \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{Q}^\times, \quad \langle [M], [N] \rangle_{cw} = \sqrt{\langle \widehat{M^0}, \widehat{N^0} \rangle \langle \widehat{M^1}, \widehat{N^1} \rangle},$$

where $M = (\ M^0 \rightleftarrows M^1\ )$ and $N = (\ N^0 \rightleftarrows N^1\ )$. This form descends to a bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{cw} : K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \times K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

The multiplication in the *twisted modified Ringel-Hall algebra* $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$[M_1] * [M_2] := \langle [M_1], [M_2] \rangle_{cw} [M_1] \diamond [M_2], \quad \forall [M_1], [M_2] \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}).$$

On the Grothendieck group of acyclic complexes, the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{cw}$ coincides with the usual Euler form:

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{cw} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle, \forall \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})) \times K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})).$$

In fact, Corollary 2.6 implies that this holds for $\alpha, \beta \in \{[K_X], [K_Y^*] | X, Y \in \mathcal{A}\}$, and the proof of Proposition 3.6 implies that these classes generate the whole Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}))$.

For any $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, it is easy to see that $K_\alpha = [K_A] * [K_B]^{-1}$ and $K_\alpha^* = [K_A^*] * [K_B^*]^{-1}$, where $\alpha = \widehat{A} - \widehat{B}$ for some $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 4.4. *In the algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$, we have*

(i)

$$\sqrt{(\alpha, \widehat{A})}[C_A] * K_\alpha = K_\alpha * [C_A], \quad [C_A^*] * K_\alpha = \sqrt{(\alpha, \widehat{A})} K_\alpha * [C_A^*],$$

$$[C_A] * K_\alpha^* = \sqrt{(\widehat{A}, \alpha)} K_\alpha^* * [C_A], \quad \sqrt{(\widehat{A}, \alpha)}[C_A^*] * K_\alpha^* = K_\alpha^* * [C_A^*],$$

for arbitrary $\alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

(ii)

$$[K_\alpha, K_\beta] = [K_\alpha, K_\beta^*] = [K_\alpha^*, K_\beta^*] = 0$$

for arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4 and the definitions, we get that

$$[K] * [M] = \frac{\langle [K], [M] \rangle_{cw} \langle [M], [K] \rangle}{\langle [M], [K] \rangle_{cw} \langle [K], [M] \rangle} [M] * [K],$$

for any $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$, and $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the results follow immediately. □

In the following, we prove that the twisted modified Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ (considering both of them in the completed space if necessary). First, we give some lemmas which are crucial to prove it.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a hereditary abelian category. There is an embedding of algebras*

$$I^e_+ : \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$$

defined by

$$[A] \mapsto [C_A], \quad k_\alpha \mapsto K_\alpha,$$

where $A \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$. *By composing* I^e_+ *and the involution* $*$, *we also have an embedding*

$$I^e_- : \mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$$

defined by

$$[A] \mapsto [C_A^*], \quad k_\alpha \mapsto K_\alpha^*.$$

Proof. We only need to check that I^e_+ and I^e_- are embedding maps, however, this follows from Corollary 3.13 immediately. □

Lemma 4.6. $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ *has a basis consisting of elements*

$$[C_A] * [C_B^*] * K_\alpha * K_\beta^*, \quad [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. Corollary 3.15 shows that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ has a basis consisting of elements

$$[C_A \oplus C_B^*] * K_\alpha * K_\beta^*, \quad [A], [B] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha, \beta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

The proof in the following is based on that of [Br, Lemma 4.7]. We define a partial order on $K_0(\mathcal{A})$, namely,

$$\alpha \leq \beta \Leftrightarrow \beta - \alpha \in K_0^+(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $K_0^+(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is the positive cone of the Grothendieck group, consisting of classes of objects of $\mathcal{A}$. Denote by $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,\leq\gamma}(\mathcal{A})$ the subspace of $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ spanned by elements from this basis for which $\widehat{A} + \widehat{B} \leq \gamma$. Easily, we get that

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,\leq\alpha}(\mathcal{A}) * \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,\leq\beta}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,\leq\alpha+\beta}(\mathcal{A}),$$

and then this defines a filtration on $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$.

Suppose now that $N = C_A$ and $M = C_B^*$ For any objects $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(N, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B),$$

i.e., for any extension $M \to X \to N$, there exists $f : B \to A$ such that $X = A \underset{f}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} B$. Note that the extension is trivial if and only if $f = 0$. Then X is quasi-isomorphic to $C_{\ker f} \oplus C^*_{\mathrm{coker}\, f}$. If $f \neq 0$, then $X \in \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,<\widehat{A}+\widehat{B}}(\mathcal{A})$, and if $f = 0$, then $X \in \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,\widehat{A}+\widehat{B}}(\mathcal{A})$. It follows

that in the graded algebra associated to the filtered algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$, we get that the term of $[C_A]*[C_B^*]*K_\alpha*K_\beta^*$ with the highest grade is $[C_A\oplus C_B^*]*K_\alpha*K_\beta^*$. Since

$$[C_A\oplus C_B^*]*K_\alpha*K_\beta^*,\quad [A],[B]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}),\alpha,\beta\in K_0(\mathcal{A})$$

is a basis, we get that

$$[C_A]*[C_B^*]*K_\alpha*K_\beta^*,\quad [A],[B]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}),\alpha,\beta\in K_0(\mathcal{A})$$

is also a basis. □

Remark 4.7. *The above lemma can also be proved using the method to obtain the universal PBW-basis for Ringel-Hall algebras in* [GP, Theorem 3.1].

Lemma 4.8. *(i) Let* $M=\ M^0\underset{0}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}}M^1$ *be in* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. *Then in* $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$[M]=\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\ker f^0},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}\rangle}}[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}]*[K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}].$$

(ii) Let $N=\ N^0\underset{g^1}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}}N^1$ *be in* $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$. *Then in* $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$[N]=\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\mathrm{coker}\, g^1},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, g^1}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\ker g^1},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, g^1}\rangle}}[C_{\ker g^1}\oplus C^*_{\mathrm{coker}\, g^1}]*[K^*_{\mathrm{Im}\, g^1}].$$

Proof. (i) From Proposition 3.6, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
[M] &= \widehat{\langle\mathrm{Im}\, f^0},\widehat{\ker f^0}\rangle K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}\diamond[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}]\\
&= \widehat{\langle\mathrm{Im}\, f^0},\widehat{\ker f^0}\rangle\frac{\langle[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}],[K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}]\rangle}{\langle[K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}],[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}]\rangle}[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}]\diamond[K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}]\\
&= \widehat{\langle\mathrm{coker}\, f^0},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}\rangle[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}]\diamond[K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}]\\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\ker f^0},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}\rangle}}[C^*_{\ker f^0}\oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f^0}]*[K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f^0}].
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) is similar, we omit the proof here. □

For any $U,V\in\mathcal{A}$, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(U)\times\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ acts on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U,V)$ as follows: for any $(s,t)\in\mathrm{Aut}(U)\times\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ and $g\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U,V)$, then $(s,t)(g):=(tgs^{-1})$.

We denote by $O=\{O_g|O_g$ is the orbit of $g, g\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U,V)\}$ the set of orbits corresponding to this group action. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Keep the notations as above. In* $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$, *we have*
(i) for any $A_1,B_2\in\mathcal{A}$,

$$[C_{A_1}]*[C^*_{B_2}]\ =\ \sum_{O_g\in O:\, g\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1,B_2)}|O_g|\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\mathrm{coker}\, g},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, g}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\ker g},\widehat{\mathrm{Im}\, g}\rangle}}[C_{\ker g}\oplus C^*_{\mathrm{coker}\, g}]*[K^*_{\mathrm{Im}\, g}].$$

(ii) for any $\widetilde{B}_1, \widetilde{A}_2 \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$[C^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}] * [C_{\widetilde{A}_2}] = \sum_{O_f \in O:\, f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{B}_1, \widetilde{A}_2)} |O_f| \frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{\mathrm{coker}}\, f, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}}\, f\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{\ker} f, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}}\, f\rangle}} [C^*_{\ker f} \oplus C_{\mathrm{coker}\, f}] * [K_{\mathrm{Im}\, f}].$$

Proof. We only need to prove (i), and (ii) is similar. By definition, we get that

$$[C_{A_1}] * [C^*_{B_2}] = \sum_{[X] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})|} [X].$$

It is easy to see that if $|\operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X| \neq 0$, then X must be isomorphic to $B_2 \underset{g}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} A_1$ for some $g : A_1 \to B_2$.

We can assume that $X = B_2 \underset{g}{\overset{0}{\rightleftarrows}} A_1$. Set

$$\mathcal{S}_1 := \{(a, b) \in \mathrm{Hom}(C^*_{B_2}, X) \times \mathrm{Hom}(X, C_{A_1}) | 0 \to C^*_{B_2} \xrightarrow{a} X \xrightarrow{b} C_{A_1} \to 0 \text{ is exact}\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}_1$ can be identified with

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{(s, t) | (s, t) \in \mathrm{Aut}(A_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(B_2)\}.$$

There exists a natural surjective map $\mathcal{S}_1 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X$. Now $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_1$ by $\nu(a, b) := (\nu a, b\nu^{-1})$ for $\nu \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and its stablizer under the map is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(C^*_{B_2}, C_{A_1})$ which is zero. So $|\mathcal{S}_1| = |\operatorname{Aut}(X)||\operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X|$, and then

$$|\operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X| = \frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(X)|}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \{(s, t) \in \mathrm{Aut}(A_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(B_2) | tg = gs\}$, so

$$|\operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X| = \frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)|}{|\{(s, t) \in \mathrm{Aut}(A_1) \times \mathrm{Aut}(B_2) | tg = gs\}|} = |O_g|.$$

Note that there is a natural one to one correspondence between $O = \{O_g | g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1, B_2)\}$ and $\{[X] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) || \operatorname{Ext}^1(C_{A_1}, C^*_{B_2})_X| \neq 0\}$. Lemma 4.8 (ii) yields the result immediately. □

For any $[X], [Y] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \delta, \widetilde{\delta} \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, we set

$$\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta} = \{M = (\ A \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightleftarrows}} B\ ) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) | H^0(M) \cong X, H^1(M) \cong Y, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}}\, v = \delta\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{[X],[Y],\widetilde{\delta}} = \{M = (\ A \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightleftarrows}} B\ ) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) | H^0(M) \cong X, H^1(M) \cong Y, \widehat{\mathrm{Im}}\, u = \widetilde{\delta}\}.$$

Lemma 4.10. *For any* $[X], [Y] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \delta, \widetilde{\delta} \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, *we have*
(i)

$$|\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta}| = \sum_{\substack{[A_1], [B_2], \\ [A_2], \widehat{A_2} = \delta}} \sum_{\substack{O_g :\ g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1, B_2), \\ [\ker g] = [X] \\ [\mathrm{coker}\, g] = [Y]}} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1, A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1, A_2)|} \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2, B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2, B_2)|} \frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)||\operatorname{Aut}(B)||O_g|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}.$$

(ii)

$$|\mathcal{V}_{[X],[Y],\widetilde{\delta}}| = \sum_{\substack{[\widetilde{A}_2],[\widetilde{B}_1]\\ [\widetilde{A}_1],\widetilde{A}_1=\widetilde{\delta}}} \sum_{\substack{O_f:\ f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_2),\\ [\ker f]=[Y]\\ [\mathrm{coker}\, f]=[X]}} \frac{|\mathrm{Ext}^1(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)_A|}{|\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)|}\frac{|\mathrm{Ext}^1(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)_B|}{|\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)|}$$
$$\frac{|\mathrm{Aut}(A)||\mathrm{Aut}(B)||O_f|}{|\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)||\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_2)||\mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{B}_1)|}.$$

Proof. We only prove (i) since (ii) is similar.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta}\\
=&\bigsqcup_{\substack{[A_1],[B_2],\\ [A_2],\widehat{A_2}=\delta}} \{M=(\ A \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightleftarrows}} B\ )\in\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta}|\,\mathrm{coker}\, v\cong A_1,\ker v\cong B_2,\mathrm{Im}\, v\cong A_2\}\\
=&\bigsqcup_{\substack{[A_1],[B_2],\\ [A_2],\widehat{A_2}=\delta}} \{((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),u)\in\\
&(\mathrm{Hom}(A_2,A)\times\mathrm{Hom}(A,A_1))\times(\mathrm{Hom}(B_2,B)\times\mathrm{Hom}(B,A_2))\times\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)|\\
&0\to A_2\xrightarrow{a_1}A\xrightarrow{a_2}A_1\to 0, 0\to B_2\xrightarrow{b_1}B\xrightarrow{b_2}A_2\to 0 \text{ are exact}, ua_1=0, b_2u=0\}/H_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $H_1=(\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)\times\mathrm{Aut}(A_2)\times\mathrm{Aut}(B_2))$ and the group action of H_1 is defined as follows: for any $(s_{A_1},s_{A_2},s_{B_2})\in H_1$, and $((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),u)$,

$$(s_{A_1},s_{A_2},s_{B_2})((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),u)=((a_1s_{A_2}^{-1},s_{A_1}a_2),(b_1s_{B_2}^{-1},s_{A_2}b_2),u).$$

For any $((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),u)$, since $ua_1=0, b_2u=0$, there exists a unique $g\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1,B_2)$ such that $u=b_1ga_2$. It is easy to see that $H^0(M)\cong\ker g$ and $H^1(M)\cong\mathrm{coker}\, g$. Then

$$\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{[A_1],[B_2],\\ [A_2],\widehat{A_2}=\delta}} \bigsqcup_{\substack{O_g:\ g\in\mathrm{Hom}(A_1,B_2),\\ [\ker g]=[X]\\ [\mathrm{coker}\, g]=[Y]}} \mathcal{U}_{O_g}/H_1,$$

where $H_1=(\mathrm{Aut}(A_1)\times\mathrm{Aut}(A_2)\times\mathrm{Aut}(B_2))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{O_g} :=\ &\{((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),g')\in\\
&(\mathrm{Hom}(A_2,A)\times\mathrm{Hom}(A,A_1))\times(\mathrm{Hom}(B_2,B)\times\mathrm{Hom}(B,A_2))\times\mathrm{Hom}(A_1,B_2)|\\
&0\to A_2\xrightarrow{a_1}A\xrightarrow{a_2}A_1\to 0, 0\to B_2\xrightarrow{b_1}B\xrightarrow{b_2}A_2\to 0 \text{ are exact}, g'\in O_g\},
\end{aligned}$$

with the group action of H_1 on $\mathcal{U}_{O_g}$ defined as follows: for any $(s_{A_1},s_{A_2},s_{B_2})\in H_1$, and $((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),g')\in\mathcal{U}_{O_g}$,

$$(s_{A_1},s_{A_2},s_{B_2})((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2),g')=((a_1s_{A_2}^{-1},s_{A_1}a_2),(b_1s_{B_2}^{-1},s_{A_2}b_2),s_{B_2}g's_{A_1}^{-1}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{O_g} =\ &\{(a_1,a_2)\in(\mathrm{Hom}(A_2,A)\times\mathrm{Hom}(A,A_1))|0\to A_2\xrightarrow{a_1}A\xrightarrow{a_2}A_1\to 0 \text{ is exact.}\}\\
&\times\{(b_1,b_2)\in(\mathrm{Hom}(B_2,B)\times\mathrm{Hom}(B,A_2))|0\to B_2\xrightarrow{b_1}B\xrightarrow{b_2}A_2\to 0 \text{ is exact.}\}\\
&\times O_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\mathcal{U}_{O_g}| = \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A||\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B||\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}|O_g|.$$

Obviously, the group action of H_1 on $\mathcal{U}_{O_g}$ is free. So

$$|\mathcal{U}_{O_g}/H_1| = \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)||\operatorname{Aut}(B)||O_g|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}.$$

and then our desired result follows immediately. □

Now we can obtain our main result in this section.

Theorem 4.11. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category. Then the twisted modified Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. We know that the Drinfeld double of the twisted extended Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$, Lemma 4.6 and Corollary 3.13 yield that the multiplication map $m: a\otimes b\mapsto I^e_+(a)*I^e_-(b)$ defines an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$m:\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A}).$$

The proof is reduced to check the equation (17) for the elements consisting of the basis of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$. Let us write it in the present situation:

$$\sum\varphi(a_{(2)},b_{(2)})I^e_+(a_{(1)})*I^e_-(b_{(1)}) = \sum\varphi(a_{(1)},b_{(1)})I^e_-(b_{(2)})*I^e_+(a_{(2)}). \tag{18}$$

Recall that the skew-Hopf pairing is $\varphi([M]*k_\alpha,[N]*k_\beta) = \sqrt{(\alpha,\beta)}|\operatorname{Aut}(M)|\delta_{[M],[N]}$ for any $[M],[N]\in\operatorname{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$, $\alpha,\beta\in K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

First, we prove it for the case: $(a,b)=([A],[B])$.

Since

$$\Delta([A]) = \sum_{[A_1],[A_2]}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}([A_1]*k_{\widehat{A_2}})\otimes[A_2],$$

and

$$\Delta([B]) = \sum_{[B_1],[B_2]}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}([B_1]*k_{\widehat{B_2}})\otimes[B_2],$$

the equation (18) in this case becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_2],[B_1]*k_{\widehat{B_2}})\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\ &\qquad\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C_{A_1}]*[K_{A_2}]*[C^*_{B_2}]\\ =&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_1]*k_{\widehat{A_2}},[B_2])\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\ &\qquad\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C^*_{B_1}]*[K^*_{B_2}]*[C_{A_2}].\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The left hand side of the equation (19) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{LHS of the equation (19)}\\
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_2]} |\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C_{A_1}]*[K_{A_2}]*[C^*_{B_2}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_2]} |\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2})}}[C_{A_1}]*[C^*_{B_2}]*[K_{A_2}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
=&\sum_{\substack{[A_1],[A_2],[B_2]\\ O_g\,:\,g\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1,B_2)}} |\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2})}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\operatorname{coker} g},\widehat{\operatorname{Im} g}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\ker g},\widehat{\operatorname{Im} g}\rangle}}\\
&|O_g|[C_{\ker g}\oplus C^*_{\operatorname{coker} g}]*[K^*_{\operatorname{Im} g}]*[K_{A_2}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
=&\sum_{\substack{\delta\in K_0(\mathcal{A}),\\ [X],[Y]}}\left(\sum_{\substack{[A_1],[B_2],\\ [A_2],\widehat{A_2}=\delta}}\sum_{\substack{O_g\,:\,g\in\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1,B_2),\\ [\ker g]=[X]\\ [\operatorname{coker} g]=[Y]}} |\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\right.\\
&\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}\frac{|O_g|}{\sqrt{(\widehat{A_2},\widehat{B_2})}}\\
&\left.\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{Y},\widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{X},\widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}\right)[C_X\oplus C^*_Y]*K^*_{\widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}}*K_\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from $\widehat{\operatorname{Im} g} = \widehat{A_1} - \widehat{\ker g} = \widehat{A} - \widehat{A_2} - \widehat{\ker g}$ if the coefficients are not zero. Furthermore, if the coefficient is not zero, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\langle \widehat{A_1}, \widehat{A_2}\rangle}\sqrt{\langle \widehat{A_2}, \widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\widehat{A_2}, \widehat{B_2})}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{Y}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}} \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{\langle \widehat{A_1}, \widehat{A_2}\rangle}{\langle \widehat{B_2}, \widehat{A_2}\rangle}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{Y}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}} \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{\langle \widehat{\ker g} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} g}, \widehat{A_2}\rangle}{\langle \widehat{\operatorname{coker} g} + \widehat{\operatorname{Im} g}, \widehat{A_2}\rangle}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{Y}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}} \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{\langle \widehat{X}, \delta\rangle}{\langle \widehat{Y}, \delta\rangle}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{Y}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 4.10 (i), we get that the left hand side of (19) is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\delta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}),\\ [X],[Y]}} \left(\sum_{\substack{[A_1],[B_2],\\ [A_2],\widehat{A_2}=\delta}} \sum_{\substack{O_g:\ g\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A_1,B_2),\\ [\ker g]=[X]\\ [\operatorname{coker} g]=[Y]}} \sqrt{\frac{\langle \widehat{X}, \delta\rangle}{\langle \widehat{Y}, \delta\rangle}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{Y}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}} \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_2,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_2,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)||\operatorname{Aut}(A)||O_g|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|} \right)$$

$$[C_X \oplus C_Y^*] * K^*_{\widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}} * K_\delta.$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\delta \in K_0(\mathcal{A}),\\ [X],[Y]}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\langle \widehat{X}, \delta\rangle}{\langle \widehat{Y}, \delta\rangle}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{Y}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \widehat{X}, \widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}\rangle}} |\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta}| \right) [C_X \oplus C_Y^*] * K^*_{\widehat{A}-\delta-\widehat{X}} * K_\delta.$$

Similarly, the right hand side of the equation (19) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{RHS of the equation (19)} \\
= & \sum_{[\widetilde{A}_1],[\widetilde{A}_2],[\widetilde{B}_1]} |\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)|\sqrt{\langle \widehat{\widetilde{A}_1}, \widehat{\widetilde{A}_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_2)|} \\
& \sqrt{\langle \widehat{\widetilde{B}_1}, \widehat{\widetilde{A}_1}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{B}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)|}[C^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}] * [K^*_{\widetilde{A}_1}] * [C_{\widetilde{A}_2}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{[\widetilde{A}_1],[\widetilde{A}_2],[\widetilde{B}_1]\\ O_f: f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)}} |\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)|\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1},\widehat{\widetilde{A}_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_2)|}$$
$$\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\widetilde{B}_1},\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{B}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)|}\frac{|O_f|}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1},\widehat{\widetilde{A}_2}\rangle}}\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\operatorname{coker} f},\widehat{\operatorname{Im} f}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\ker f},\widehat{\operatorname{Im} f}\rangle}}$$
$$[C^*_{\ker f}\oplus C_{\operatorname{coker} f}]*[K_{\operatorname{Im} f}]*[K^*_{\widetilde{A}_1}]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\widetilde{\delta}\in K_0(\mathcal{A}),\\ [X],[Y]}}\left(\sum_{\substack{[\widetilde{A}_2],[\widetilde{B}_1]\\ [\widetilde{A}_1],\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1}=\widetilde{\delta}}}\sum_{\substack{O_f:\ f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_2),\\ [\ker f]=[Y]\\ [\operatorname{coker} f]=[X]}} |\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)|\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1},\widehat{\widetilde{A}_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)|}\right.$$
$$\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_2)|}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\widetilde{B}_1},\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{B}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)|}\frac{|O_f|}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1},\widehat{\widetilde{A}_2}\rangle}}$$
$$\left.\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{X},\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}\rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{Y},\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}\rangle}}\right)[C^*_Y\oplus C_X]*K_{\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}}*K^*_{\widetilde{\delta}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\widetilde{\delta}\in K_0(\mathcal{A}),\\ [X],[Y]}}\left(\sum_{\substack{[\widetilde{A}_2],[\widetilde{B}_1]\\ [\widetilde{A}_1],\widehat{\widetilde{A}_1}=\widetilde{\delta}}}\sum_{\substack{O_f:\ f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_2),\\ [\ker f]=[Y]\\ [\operatorname{coker} f]=[X]}}\sqrt{\frac{\langle\widehat{Y},\widetilde{\delta}\rangle}{\langle\widehat{X},\widetilde{\delta}\rangle}}\sqrt{\frac{\langle\widehat{X},\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}\rangle}{\langle\widehat{Y},\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}\rangle}}\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{A}_1,\widetilde{A}_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{B}_1,\widetilde{A}_1)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)||\operatorname{Aut}(B)||O_f|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{A}_2)||\operatorname{Aut}(\widetilde{B}_1)|}\right)$$
$$[C^*_Y\oplus C_X]*K_{\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}}*K^*_{\widetilde{\delta}}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{\widetilde{\delta}\in K_0(\mathcal{A}),\\ [X],[Y]}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\langle\widehat{Y},\widetilde{\delta}\rangle}{\langle\widehat{X},\widetilde{\delta}\rangle}}\sqrt{\frac{\langle\widehat{X},\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}\rangle}{\langle\widehat{Y},\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}\rangle}}|\mathcal{V}_{[X],[Y],\widetilde{\delta}}|\right)[C^*_Y\oplus C_X]*K_{\widehat{A}-\widetilde{\delta}-\widehat{X}}*K^*_{\widetilde{\delta}}.$$

By the definitions, it is not hard to see that for any $[X],[Y]\in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A}), \delta,\widetilde{\delta}\in K_0(\mathcal{A})$, if $\delta+\widetilde{\delta}=\widehat{A}-\widehat{X}$ in $K_0(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathcal{U}_{[X],[Y],\delta}=\mathcal{V}_{[X],[Y],\widetilde{\delta}}$. Therefore,

$$\text{LHS of the equation (19)} = \text{RHS of the equation (19)},$$

and then the equation (19) is valid.

Second, we prove that the equality (18) holds for the general case $(a,b)=([A]*k_\alpha,[B]*k_\beta)$. Recall that

$$\Delta([A]*k_\alpha)=\sum_{[A_1],[A_2]}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}([A_1]*k_{\widehat{A_2}+\alpha})\otimes[A_2]*k_\alpha,$$

and

$$\Delta([B]*k_\beta)=\sum_{[B_1],[B_2]}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}([B_1]*k_{\widehat{B_2}+\beta})\otimes[B_2]*k_\beta.$$

Then in this case, the left hand side of the equation (18) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_2]*k_\alpha,[B_1]*k_{\widehat{B_2}+\beta})\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C_{A_1}]*K_{\widehat{A_2}+\alpha}*[C^*_{B_2}]*K^*_\beta\\
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_2],[B_1]*k_{\widehat{B_2}})\sqrt{(\alpha,\widehat{B_2}+\beta)}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(\alpha,\widehat{B_2})}}[C_{A_1}]*[K_{A_2}]*[C^*_{B_2}]*K_\alpha*K^*_\beta\\
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_2],[B_1]*k_{\widehat{B_2}})\sqrt{(\alpha,\beta)}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C_{A_1}]*[K_{A_2}]*[C^*_{B_2}]*K_\alpha*K^*_\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

From the equality (19) proved above, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{LHS of the equation (18)}\\
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_1]*k_{\widehat{A_2}},[B_2])\sqrt{(\alpha,\beta)}\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C^*_{B_1}]*[K^*_{B_2}]*[C_{A_2}]*K_\alpha*K^*_\beta\\
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_1]*k_{\widehat{A_2}},[B_2]*k_\beta)\frac{\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}}{\sqrt{(\widehat{A_2},\beta)}}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}\sqrt{(\widehat{A_2},\beta)}[C^*_{B_1}]*[K^*_{B_2}]*K^*_\beta*[C_{A_2}]*K_\alpha\\
=&\sum_{[A_1],[A_2],[B_1],[B_2]}\varphi([A_1]*k_{\widehat{A_2}},[B_2]*k_\beta)\sqrt{\langle\widehat{A_1},\widehat{A_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(A_1,A_2)_A|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(A_1,A_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(A)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(A_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(A_2)|}\\
&\sqrt{\langle\widehat{B_1},\widehat{B_2}\rangle}\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1(B_1,B_2)_B|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(B_1,B_2)|}\frac{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_1)||\operatorname{Aut}(B_2)|}[C^*_{B_1}]*K^*_{\widehat{B_2}+\beta}*[C_{A_2}]*K_\alpha\\
=&\ \text{RHS of the equation (18).}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

The following corollary follows from Theorem 4.11 immediately.

Corollary 4.12. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of a quiver Q which may have oriented cycles, or coherent sheaves on a smooth projective curve or a weighted projective line over k. Then the twisted modified Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Remark 4.13. *The above corollary holds without considering in the completed space, since $\mathcal{A}$ is a finitary hereditary abelian category.*

Corollary 4.14 ([Y])**.** *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category with enough projective objects. Then the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{DH}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. When $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category with enough projective objects, [Gor, Corollary 9.21] yields that the twisted semi-derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ defined there is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$. Furthermore, [Gor, Proposition 9.23] shows that the twisted semi-derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{DH}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, from Theorem 4.11, we also get that $\mathcal{DH}(\mathcal{A})$ is also isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$. □

As in [Br, Gor], We define the *reduced* version of the $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ by setting $[K] = 1$ whenever K is an acyclic complex, invariant under the shift functor:

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,red}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})/([K] - 1 : K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A}), K \cong K^*).$$

Furthermore, as also in [Gor], this is the same as setting $K_\alpha * K^*_\alpha = 1, \forall \alpha \in K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 4.15 (see e.g. [X, Jo, Cr, BS1, BS2])**.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category. The reduced Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra (also called the* double Hall algebra*) $D\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is the quotient of the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ by the ideal generated by the elements $k_\alpha \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes k^{-1}_\alpha$.*

Then we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.16. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a hereditary abelian k-category. Then $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,red}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the reduced Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra $D\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. It follows from the definitions and Theorem 4.11 immediately . □

For a quiver with at least one oriented cycle, the category $\mathcal{A}$ of its finite-dimensional nilpotent representations over k does not have enough projective objects. However, its modified Ringel-Hall algebra or equivalently its Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra is still interesting, see e.g. [Sch1, DDF]. In the following, we give a very special example.

Example 4.17. *Let $\Delta(1)$ be the Jordan quiver which has only one vertex 1 and one arrow $\alpha : 1 \to 1$. Define $\mathcal{A}$ to the category of finite-dimensional nilpotent representations of $\Delta(1)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ does not have any projective object and even not have any tilting object. Its twisted Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the ring of symmetric functions* [Mac]*. By Theorem 4.11, we get that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, one can prove that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw,red}(\mathcal{A})$ is a kind of q-deformations of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{H}_\infty$, where $\mathfrak{H}_\infty$ is the Lie algebra which has a basis p_i, q_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ and c, and the vector c is central, while $[p_i, q_j] = \delta_{ij}c$ for any $i, j \geq 1$.*

5. For hereditary categories with tilting objects

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Krull-Schmidt k-linear abelian category. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a pair of full subcategories in $\mathcal{A}$. Following [Di] we say that $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a *torsion pair* in $\mathcal{A}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}(T, F) = 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

(ii) For any $X \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T_X \to X \to F_X \to 0$$

such that $T_X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $F_X \in \mathcal{F}$.

For a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ the following conditions are always satisfied:

(iii) If $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(T, X) = 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$, then $X \in \mathcal{F}$.

(iv) If $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(X, F) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $X \in \mathcal{T}$.

If $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is a torsion pair, then $\mathcal{T}$ is called the *torsion class*, and $\mathcal{F}$ is called the *torsion free class*. $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is called a *torsion object* while $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a *torsion free object*. Clearly $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are closed under extensions, $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under factor objects and $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under subobjects.

From [HRS], a torsion class $\mathcal{T}$ is a *tilting torsion class* if $\mathcal{T}$ is a cogenerator for $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e. for any $X \in \mathcal{A}$ there is $T_X \in \mathcal{T}$ and a monomorphism $\mu_X : X \to T_X$).

For an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\mathrm{add}\, A$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ of direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of A and by $\mathrm{Fac}\, A$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ of epimorphic images of objects in $\mathrm{add}\, A$.

Definition 5.1 ([HRS]). *Let $T \in \mathcal{A}$. Then T is called a* tilting object *if there exists a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *$\mathcal{T}$ is a tilting torsion class.*

(ii) *$\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{Fac}\, T$.*

(iii) *$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(T, X) = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $i > 0$, so T is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$.*

(iv) *If $Z \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(Z, X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{T}$ and $i > 0$, then $Z \in \mathrm{add}\, T$.*

(v) *If $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(T, X) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}$, then $X = 0$.*

This concept was introduced in [HRS] to obtain a common treatment of both the class of tilted algebras [HR] and the class of canonical algebras [Rin1, Rin4, GL].

Lemma 5.2 ([HRS]). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian k-category and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ a torsion pair.*

(i) If $\mathcal{T}$ is given by a tilting object T, then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(T, -) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

(ii) If $\mathcal{T}$ is given by a tilting object T and $X \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(T, X) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then $X \in \mathcal{T}$.

(iii) Let T be a tilting object in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{D}^b(\Lambda)$ are derived equivalent where $\Lambda = \mathrm{End}(T)^{op}$.

For the case of hereditary abelian k-category with a tilting object, Happel gave the following characterization.

Theorem 5.3 ([Ha]). *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a connected hereditary abelian k-category with tilting object. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is derived equivalent to $\mathrm{mod}\, H$ for some finite-dimensional hereditary k-algebra H or derived equivalent to $\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{X})$ for some weighted projective line $\mathbb{X}$.*

Recall that a *weighted projective line* $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{p})$ is specified by a collection of distinct points $\mathbf{D} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ in the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ over a field k, and a *weight sequence* $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$. Geigle and Lenzing [GL] have associated to each weighted projective line $\mathbb{X}$ a category $\mathrm{coh}\, \mathbb{X}$ of coherent sheaves, which is the quotient category of the category of finitely generated $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{p})$-graded $S(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{D})$-modules, modulo the *Serre subcategory* of finite length modules. Here $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{p})$ is the rank one additive group

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{p}) := \langle \vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n, \vec{c} | p_1 \vec{x}_1 = \cdots = p_n \vec{x}_n = \vec{c} \rangle,$$

and

$$S(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{D}) = k[u,v,x_1,\ldots,x_n]/(x_i^{p_i}+\lambda_{i1}u-\lambda_{i0}v),$$

with grading $\deg u = \deg v = \vec{c}$ and $\deg x_i = \vec{x}_i$, where $\lambda_i = [\lambda_{i0}:\lambda_{i1}] \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Geigle and Lenzing showed that $\mathrm{coh}(\mathbb{X})$ is a hereditary abelian category with a tilting object, whose endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to the *canonical algebra* introduced by Ringel in [Rin1], the free module gives a *structure sheaf* $\mathcal{O}$, and shifting the grading gives twists $E(\vec{x})$ for any sheaf E and $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{p})$, also, every sheaf is the direct sum of a *torsion-free sheaf*, with a filtration by sheaves of the form $\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})$, and a finite-length *torsion sheaf*.

Before going on, we give some definitions in [AS]. For any Krull-Schmidt k-linear category $\mathcal{B}$ (not necessarily hereditary) with finite-dimensional homomorphism spaces, let $\mathcal{X}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$. For any $C \in \mathcal{B}$, a morphism $f : X \to C$ is said to be a *right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation* of C if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{X},X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{X},f)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{X},C) \to 0$ is exact, i.e., $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X',X) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X',C) \to 0$ is exact for all $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. A right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation $h : X \to C$ is said to be a *minimal right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation* of C if h is a right minimal morphism. Easily, two minimal right $\mathcal{X}$-approximations $f_i : X_i \to C$, $i = 1,2$ are isomorphic in the sense that there is an isomorphism $g : X_1 \to X_2$ such that $h_1 = h_2 g$, and an object $C \in \mathcal{B}$ has a minimal right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation if it has a right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation. The subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be *contravariantly finite* in $\mathcal{B}$ if every C in $\mathcal{B}$ has a right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation. Therefore C has a right $\mathcal{X}$-approximation if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(-,C)|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a finitely generated contravariant functor from $\mathcal{X}$ to abelian groups.

Again we will use freely the notions of left $\mathcal{X}$-approximations, minimal left $\mathcal{X}$-approximations, and $\mathcal{X}$ being covariantly finite in $\mathcal{B}$, which are the obvious duals of the notions given above. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *functorially finite* if it is both covariantly finite and contravariantly finite. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{add}\, T$ is functorially finite for any object $T \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 5.4 (Wakamatsu's Lemma)**.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ which is closed under extensions. Let C be in $\mathcal{B}$.*

(i) If $0 \to Y \to X \xrightarrow{f} C$ is exact with f a right minimal $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of C, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{X},Y) = 0$.

(ii) If $0 \to C \xrightarrow{g} X \to Z \to 0$ is exact with g a left minimal $\mathcal{X}$-approximation of C, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{B}}(Z,\mathcal{X}) = 0$.

We turn to consider the tilting objects now. Let T be a tilting object in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $(\mathcal{T}(T),\mathcal{F}(T))$ (or $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F})$ for simplicity) be the torsion pair induced by T.

Proposition 5.5. *Let T be a tilting object in a hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F})$ be the induced torsion pair. Then*

(i) for any $M \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to T^1 \to T^0 \to M \to 0,$$

with $T_0, T_1 \in \mathrm{add}\, T$.

(ii) $\mathcal{T}$ is a covariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$, and for any $C \in \mathcal{A}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \to C \xrightarrow{g} X \to Y \to 0$ with g a minimal right $\mathcal{T}$-approximation of C and Y in $\mathrm{add}\, T$.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathrm{add}\, T$ is a functorially finite subcategory and $\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{Fac}(T)$, we get that there is an epimorphism $T^0 \xrightarrow{f} M$ with $T^0 \in \mathrm{add}\, T$ and f a right minimal $\mathrm{add}\, T$-approximation of M. Then we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker f \to T^0 \xrightarrow{f} M \to 0.$$

From Wakamatsu's Lemma, we get that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(T,\ker f) = 0$, so $\ker f \in \mathcal{T}$ by $\mathcal{A}$ is hereditary and Lemma 5.2 (ii). For any $X \in \mathcal{T}$, we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1(T^0,X) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\ker f,X) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2(M,X) = 0 \to \cdots,$$

so $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\ker f, X) = 0$, this implies $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\ker f, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ since $\mathcal{A}$ is hereditary. Definition 5.1 yields $\ker f \in \operatorname{add} T$.

(ii) By the definition of tilting object, we get that $\mathcal{T}$ is a cogenerator for $\mathcal{A}$, so for any $C \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a monomorphism $a : C \to X_C$ with $X_C \in \mathcal{T}$, which is completed to a short exact sequence

$$0 \to C \xrightarrow{a} X_C \xrightarrow{b} Y_C \to 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under quotients, it is easy to see that $Y_C \in \mathcal{T}$. From (i), for Y_C, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to T^1 \to T^0 \to Y_C \to 0,$$

where $T^0, T^1 \in \operatorname{add} T$. So we get the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T^1 & = & T^1 \\ & & \vdots & & \downarrow \\ C & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & X & \dashrightarrow & T^0 \\ \| & & \vdots & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & X_C & \longrightarrow & Y_C. \end{array}$$

It follows from the short exact sequence in the second column that $X \in \mathcal{T}$ since $T^1, X_C \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under extensions. So we get a short exact sequence $0 \to C \xrightarrow{f} X \to T^0 \to 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{T}$, $T^0 \in \operatorname{add} T$. It is easy to see that f is a left $\mathcal{T}$-approximation of C since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(T^0, \mathcal{T}) = 0$. So $\mathcal{T}$ is a covariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$.

For the last statement, let $C \xrightarrow{g} X$ be a minimal right $\mathcal{T}$-approximation. Then g is injective. So it is completed to a short exact sequence $0 \to C \xrightarrow{g} X \to Y \to 0$. In particular, $Y \in \mathcal{T}$. Then Wakamatsu's Lemma yields $\mathrm{Ext}^1(Y, \mathcal{T}) = 0$, and so $Y \in \operatorname{add} T$ by Definition 5.1 (iv). □

Proposition 5.6. *Let T be a tilting object in a hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be the induced torsion pair. Then for each $A \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a short exact sequence of complexes*

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{qis} X \to Y \to 0,$$

with the first morphism a quasi-isomorphism, and $X \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{T})$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}^b(\operatorname{add} T)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to [Ke, Lemma 4.1]. By the hypothesis, Proposition 5.5 (ii) shows that for any $C \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist $X^C \in \mathcal{T}, Y^C \in \operatorname{add} T$ such that $0 \to C \to X^C \to Y^C \to 0$ is exact. Without loss of generality, we can assume that A is of the form

$$A : \cdots \to 0 \to A^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} A^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots \to A^n \to 0 \to \cdots, A^p \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We construct the coresolution step by step. For A^0, take $0 \to A^0 \xrightarrow{l^0} X^0 \xrightarrow{\pi^0} Y^0$ with $X^0 \in \mathcal{T}$, $Y^0 \in \operatorname{add} T$. Then take the pushout of the morphism $A^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} A^1$ and $A^0 \xrightarrow{l^0} X^0$. We get the following left diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow{d^0} & A^1 & \xrightarrow{d^1} & A^2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^1} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle j^1} & \\ X^0 & \xrightarrow{i^0} & Z^1 & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^1} & \searrow{\scriptstyle t^1} & \\ Y^0 & = & Y^0 & & X^1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} A^1 & = & A^1 & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l^1=t^1s^1} & & \\ Z^1 & \xrightarrow{t^1} & X^1 & \longrightarrow & T^1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \pi^1} & & \| \\ Y^0 & \dashrightarrow & Y^1 & \dashrightarrow & T^1 \end{array}$$

where $Z^1 \xrightarrow{t^1} X^1$ satisfies $0 \to Z^1 \xrightarrow{t^1} X^1 \to T^1 \to 0$ such that $X^1 \in \mathcal{T}, T^1 \in \operatorname{add} T$. Since $d^1 d^0 = 0$, there exists a unique morphism $j^1 : Z^1 \to A^2$ such that $j^1 s^1 = d^1$ and $j^1 i^0 = 0$. Then we get the above right pushout diagram. So $0 \to A^1 \xrightarrow{l^1} X^1 \xrightarrow{\pi^1} Y^1 \to 0$ is a short exacts sequence. Note that $Y^1 \cong Y^0 \oplus T^1 \in \operatorname{add} T$ since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(T^1, Y^0) = 0$ by the definition of tilting object. In this way, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A^0 & \xrightarrow{d^0} & A^1 & \xrightarrow{d^1} & A^2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l^0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l^1} & & \\ X^0 & \xrightarrow{t^1 i^0} & X^1 & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^1} & & \\ Y^0 & \longrightarrow & Y^1. & & \end{array}$$

For A^2, we take the pushout of the morphism $Z^1 \xrightarrow{j^1} A^2$ and $Z^1 \xrightarrow{t^1} X^1$, and get the following left commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z^1 & \xrightarrow{j^1} & A^2 & \xrightarrow{d^2} & A^3 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^2} & \nearrow{\scriptstyle j^2} & \\ X^1 & \xrightarrow{i^1} & Z^2 & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^2} & & \\ T^1 & = & T^1 & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} A^2 & = & A^2 & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l^2 = t^2 s^2} & & \\ Z^2 & \xrightarrow{t^2} & X^2 & \longrightarrow & T^2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^2} & & \downarrow & & \| \\ T^1 & \longrightarrow & Y^2 & \longrightarrow & T^2. \end{array}$$

Since $0 = d^2 d^1 = d^2 j^1 s^1$ and s^1 is injective, we get $d^2 j^1 = 0$, and then by the property of the pushout, there exists a unique morphism $j^2 : Z^2 \to A^3$ such that $j^2 s^2 = d^2$ and $j^2 i^1 = 0$. For Z^2, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \to Z^2 \xrightarrow{t^2} X^2 \to T^2 \to 0$ with $X^2 \in \mathcal{T}$ and $T^2 \in \operatorname{add} T$. Then we get the above right pushout diagram. From the short exact sequence $T^1 \to Y^2 \to T^2$, we get $Y^2 \cong T^1 \oplus T^2 \in \operatorname{add} T$.

In the same manner, we construct $X^3, \ldots, X^{n-1}, X^n \in \mathcal{T}$ and $Y^3, \ldots, Y^{n-1}, Y^n \in \operatorname{add} T$. Then we have $Z^n \xrightarrow{t^n} X^n \to T^n$, $j^n = 0 : Z^n \to 0$, so we only need set $X^{n+1} = T^n$, and $Y^{n+1} = T^n$.

In this way, we get two complexes

$$X = \cdots \to 0 \to X^0 \to \cdots \to X^n \to X^{n+1} \to 0 \to \cdots$$

and

$$Y = \cdots \to 0 \to Y^0 \to \cdots \to Y^n \to Y^{n+1} \to 0 \to \cdots,$$

such that there is a short exact sequence of complexes: $0 \to A \to X \to Y \to 0$.

It is not hard to verify that $A \to X$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and Y is acyclic. □

Lemma 5.7. *Let T be a tilting object in a hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be the induced torsion pair. Then for each $X \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{T})$, there exists a short exact sequence of complexes*

$$0 \to Z \to Y \xrightarrow{qis} X \to 0,$$

with the second morphism a quasi-isomorphism, and $Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}^b(\operatorname{add} T)$.

Proof. Since T is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$, by Proposition 5.5, the proof is completely same to [Ke, Lemma 4.1]. Only need note that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under taking extensions and $\operatorname{add} T \subset \mathcal{T}$. □

Theorem 5.8. *Let T be a tilting object in a hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F})$ be the induced torsion pair. Then*

(i) for each $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{f_1} X \xrightarrow{f_2} T_2 \to 0,$$

with f_1 a quasi-isomorphism, and $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, $T_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$.

(ii) for each $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, there exists a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to T_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{g_2} X \to 0,$$

with g_2 a quasi-isomorphism, and $T_0, T_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$.

Proof. (i) For each $M = M^0 \underset{f^1}{\overset{f^0}{\rightleftarrows}} M^1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, from Lemma 2.1, we know that there exists $U \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$ such that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to K_{\ker f^0} \to \pi(U) \to M \to 0.$$

From Proposition 5.6, we know there exist $W \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{T})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}^b(\operatorname{add} T)$ such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to U \xrightarrow{qis} W \to Y \to 0.$$

Then we get the following pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_{\ker f^0} & \longrightarrow & \pi(U) & \longrightarrow & M \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \vdots \\ K_{\ker f^0} & \longrightarrow & \pi(W) & \dashrightarrow & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \vdots \\ & & \pi(Y) & = & \pi(Y) \end{array} \qquad (*)$$

From the exact sequence in the second row, we know that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$ since $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under quotients. So the exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to X \to \pi(Y) \to 0$$

is our desired short exact sequence.

(ii) For each $N = N^0 \underset{g^1}{\overset{g^0}{\rightleftarrows}} N^1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, from Lemma 2.1, we know that there exists $V \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{A})$ such that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to N \to \pi(V) \to A_N \to 0,$$

with A_N acyclic. By Proposition 5.6, we can assume that $V \in \mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{T})$ and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to N \to \pi(V) \to A_N \to 0$$

with A_N acyclic. Then it is easy to see that $A_N \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$ since $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under quotients. From Lemma 5.7, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to Y \to X \xrightarrow{qis} V \to 0$$

with $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^b(\operatorname{add} T)$. So we get the following pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi(Y) & = & \pi(Y) & & \\ \vdots & & \downarrow & & \\ Z & \dashrightarrow & \pi(X) & \longrightarrow & A_N \qquad (**) \\ \vdots & & \downarrow & & \| \\ N & \longrightarrow & \pi(V) & \longrightarrow & A_N \end{array}$$

Considering the components of the complexes, from the short exact sequence in the first column, we get that $Z \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$ since $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under extensions. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathcal{T})$ to the short exact sequences induced by the short exact sequence in the second row by considering the components, we get that $Z \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$. So $0 \to \pi(Y) \to Z \to N \to 0$ is our desired short exact sequence. □

For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, the two short exact sequences in Theorem 5.8 (i) and (ii) as a whole is called a *T-resolution* of M.

Definition 5.9 ([Gor]). *For any exact category ε, we denote by $\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ the closure with respect to extensions and quasi-isomorphism classes of the full subcategory of all stalk complexes inside $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$.*

For any hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ by [Gor, Proposition 9.7]. Let T be a tilting object of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be its induced torsion pair. We can define $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ to be the closure with respect to extensions and quasi-isomorphism classes in the full subcategory of all stalk complexes inside $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$. Similar to [Gor, Lemma 9.3], we know that $\pi(\mathcal{C}^b(\mathcal{T}))$ is contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$.

Lemma 5.10. *For any hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$, let T be a tilting object of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be its induced torsion pair. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, and $\widetilde{\operatorname{add} T} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$.*

Proof. In Theorem 5.8 (i), for any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, by diagram $(*)$ in the proof of Theorem 5.8, it is easy to see that $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$, and $\pi(Y) \in \widetilde{\operatorname{add} T}$. In particular, if $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, we know that M is quasi-isomorphic to $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$, so $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$.

Similarly, in Theorem 5.8 (ii), for any $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, by diagram $(**)$ in the proof of Theorem 5.8, it is easy to see that $Z \in \widetilde{\operatorname{add} T}$, and $\pi(Y) \in \widetilde{\operatorname{add} T}$. In particular, if $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$, we know that N is quasi-isomorphic to $Z \in \widetilde{\operatorname{add} T}$, so $N \in \widetilde{\operatorname{add} T}$. □

We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded derived category, i.e. the localization of the homotopy category $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to all quasi-isomorphisms.

Theorem 5.11 ([Gor]). *For any hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$, let T be a tilting object of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be its induced torsion pair. Then for any $P \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(P, M) = \operatorname{Ext}^p_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(P, M), \quad \text{for any } p > 0.$$

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{add} T$ is Ext-projective in $\mathcal{T}$ and then $\mathcal{T}$ is an exact category with enough projectives. Thanks to Lemma 5.10, the statement follows from [Gor, Lemma 9.4] immediately. □

Corollary 5.12. *Let T be a tilting object in a hereditary abelian k-category $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be the induced torsion pair. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$ is a contravariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$ is a covariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Furthermore, for any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, if $f_1 : M \to Z$ is a minimal right $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$-approximation, then f_1 is injective, and $\operatorname{coker}(f_1) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$. *And for any $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, if $g_1 : W \to N$ is a minimal left $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$-approximation, then g_1 is surjective, and* $\ker(g_1) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$.

Proof. For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, Theorem 5.8 (i) shows that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{u_1} X \xrightarrow{u_2} T_2 \to 0 \tag{20}$$

with $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$, $T_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(T_2, U) = 0$ for any $U \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$ by Theorem 5.11, we get that u_1 is a right $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$-approximation. Thus $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$ is a contravariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$.

Similarly, we can prove that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$ is a covariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$.

Furthermore, if $f_1 : M \to Z$ is a minimal right $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$-approximation, let $f_2 : Z \to Z'$ be the cokernel of f_1. From (20), there exist $s_1 : Z \to X$ and $s_2 : X \to Z$ such that $u_1 s_1 = f_1$ and $s_2 u_1 = f_1$. We get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
M & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Z & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Z' \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle t_1} \\
M & \xrightarrow{u_1} & X & \xrightarrow{u_2} & T_2 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_2} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle t_2} \\
M & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Z & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Z'.
\end{array}$$

It is easy to see that there exist t_1, t_2 such that $t_1 f_2 = u_2 s_1$ and $t_2 u_2 = f_2 s_2$. Since f_1 is a right minimal morphism, we get that $s_2 s_1$ is an isomorphism, and then $t_2 t_1$ is also an isomorphism. Thus Z' is a direct summand of T_2, and then in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $\ker(g_1) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$. □

For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, it admits a T-resolution:

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{f_1} X \xrightarrow{f_2} T_2 \to 0, \text{ and } 0 \to T_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{g_2} X \to 0,$$

as in Theorem 5.8. If f_1 is a minimal right $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{T})$-approximation, and g_2 is a minimal left $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$-approximation, then this T-resolution is called to be a *minimal T-resolution*. Corollary 5.12 implies that minimal T-resolution always exists. Easily, minimal T-resolution is unique up to isomorphisms.

Lemma 5.13. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category with a tilting object T. For the exact category* $\operatorname{add} T$, *let $T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))$ formed by all $q[K]$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$. Then $T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a multiplicatively closed, right Ore and right reversible subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))$. Moreover, the right localization of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))$ with respect to $T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ exists, and we denoted it by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$.*

Proof. Theorem 5.11 yields that for any complexes $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z},ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$,

$$[K] \diamond [M] = \frac{1}{\langle [K], [M] \rangle} [K \oplus M], \quad [M] \diamond [K] = \frac{1}{\langle [M], [K] \rangle} [K \oplus M].$$

So

$$[K] \diamond [M] = \frac{\langle [M], [K] \rangle}{\langle [K], [M] \rangle} [M] \diamond [K].$$

Then it is not hard to check that $T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a multiplicatively closed, right Ore and right reversible subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))$. □

In the following, we prove that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to the modified Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ as algebras.

Theorem 5.8 yields that any complex $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ admits a T-resolution:

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{w} X \xrightarrow{v} T_2 \to 0, \text{ and } 0 \to T_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{u_0} X \to 0. \tag{21}$$

Now, we can prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.14. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category with a tilting object T. Then there exists an algebra homomorphism*

$$\phi : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})).$$

(i) The homomorphism ϕ induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\phi} : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}).$$

(ii) The inverse of $\widetilde{\phi}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\psi} : [M] \mapsto \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1 \oplus T_2] \rangle} [T_0] \diamond [T_1 \oplus T_2]^{-1},$$

where T_0, T_1, T_2 are the objects in any T-resolution of M of the form (21).

Proof. The natural inclusion $\operatorname{add} T \to \mathcal{A}$ is a full exact embedding, and $\operatorname{add} T$ is closed under extensions. So $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, and also closed under extensions. So we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)}(X, Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)}(X, Y)_Z = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)_Z, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T), Z \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}).$$

In fact if $|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)_Z| \neq 0$, then $Z \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$. Thus there exists an algebra homomorphism $p : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))$ by the definition of multiplication. Since the full embedding $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ is exact and maps acyclic complexes to acyclic complexes, it is easy to see that ϕ induces an algebra homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\phi} : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}] \to (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}].$$

We define

$$\psi : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$$

by

$$[M] \mapsto \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1 \oplus T_2] \rangle} [T_0] \diamond [T_1 \oplus T_2]^{-1},$$

where T_0, T_1, T_2 are the objects in any T-resolution of M of the form (21). In order to check that ψ is well-defined, for any minimal T-resolution

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{w'} X' \xrightarrow{v'} T_2' \to 0, \text{ and } 0 \to T_1' \xrightarrow{u_1'} T_0' \xrightarrow{u_0'} X' \to 0,$$

there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M' & \xrightarrow{w'} & X' & \xrightarrow{v'} & T_2' \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ M & \xrightarrow{w} & X & \xrightarrow{v} & T_2, \end{array}$$

which is a both pushout and pullback diagram. Obviously, g and f are sections, and their cokernels are isomorphic, which is denoted by T_2''. Then $T_2'' \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\operatorname{add} T)$, and

$$T_2 \cong T_2' \oplus T_2'', \quad X \cong X' \oplus T_2''.$$

Similarly, we also get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_1' & \xrightarrow{u_1'} & T_0' & \xrightarrow{u_0'} & X' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ T_1 & \xrightarrow{u_1} & T_0 & \xrightarrow{u_0} & X. \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that h_0 and h_1 are sections. Denote by T_1'' and T_0'' the cokernels of h_1 and h_0 respectively. Then

$$T_0 \cong T_0' \oplus T_0'', \text{ and } T_1 \cong T_1' \oplus T_1''.$$

Furthermore, by the above commutative diagram, there exists a short exact sequence of acyclic complexes: $0 \to T_1'' \to T_0'' \to T_2'' \to 0$, which yields that $T_0'' \cong T_1'' \oplus T_2''$.

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1 \oplus T_2]\rangle}[T_0] \diamond [T_1 \oplus T_2]^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2' \oplus T_2'']\rangle}[T_0' \oplus T_0''] \diamond [T_1' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2' \oplus T_2'']^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2' \oplus T_2'']\rangle}[T_0' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2''] \diamond [T_1' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2' \oplus T_2'']^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2' \oplus T_2'']\rangle} \frac{\langle [T_0'], [T_1'' \oplus T_2'']\rangle}{\langle [T_1' \oplus T_2''], [T_1'' \oplus T_2'']\rangle}[T_0'] \diamond [T_1'' \oplus T_2''] \diamond [T_1'' \oplus T_2'']^{-1} \diamond [T_1' \oplus T_2']^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1' \oplus T_1'' \oplus T_2' \oplus T_2'']\rangle}\langle [M], [T_1'' \oplus T_2'']\rangle [T_0'] \diamond [T_1' \oplus T_2']^{-1} \\ = & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1' \oplus T_2']\rangle}[T_0'] \diamond [T_1' \oplus T_2']^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So ψ is well-defined.

For any short exact sequence

$$0 \to K \xrightarrow{a^1} L \xrightarrow{a^2} M \to 0$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, with K acyclic, we get that K and M admit the following T-resolutions:

$$0 \to K \xrightarrow{b^1} X^K \xrightarrow{b^2} T_2^K \to 0, \text{ and } 0 \to T_1^K \xrightarrow{c^1} T_0^K \xrightarrow{c^2} X^K \to 0, \tag{22}$$

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{d^1} X^M \xrightarrow{d^2} T_2^M \to 0, \text{ and } 0 \to T_1^M \xrightarrow{e^1} T_0^M \xrightarrow{e^2} X^M \to 0. \tag{23}$$

Note that X^K, T_0^K are acyclic complexes.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(-, K)$ to the short exact sequence $M \to X^M \to T_2^M$, together with Proposition 2.4, we get that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X^M, K) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(M, K) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2(T_2^M, K) = 0$. So there exists X' such that the following diagram commutates

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & = & K & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a^1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \\ L & \overset{g_1}{\dashrightarrow} & X' & \overset{g_2}{\dashrightarrow} & T_2^M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a^2} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \| \\ M & \xrightarrow{d^1} & X^M & \xrightarrow{d^2} & T_2^M. \end{array}$$

Furthermore, we also get the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \longrightarrow & X^K & \longrightarrow & T_2^K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle j_1} & & \| \\ X' & \overset{h_1}{\dashrightarrow} & X^L & \overset{h_2}{\dashrightarrow} & T_2^K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle j_2} & & \\ X^M & = & X^M. & & \end{array}$$

By using it, we also obtain the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & = & L & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1g_1} & & \\ X' & \xrightarrow{h_1} & X^L & \xrightarrow{h_2} & T_2^K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \vdots & & \| \\ T_2^M & \dashrightarrow & W & \dashrightarrow & T_2^K. \end{array}$$

From it, we get that $0 \to T_2^M \to W \to T_2^K \to 0$ is exact, which splits since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(T_2^K, T_2^M) = 0$, and so $W \cong T_2^K \oplus T_2^M$. Thus, $0 \to L \to X^L \to T_2^K \oplus T_2^M \to 0$ is exact with $T_2^K \oplus T_2^M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathrm{add}\, T)$.

It is easy to see that the following diagram is commutative with each row and column short exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{a^1} & L & \xrightarrow{a^2} & M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1g_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1} \\ X^K & \xrightarrow{j_1} & X^L & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X^M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle b^2} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^2} \\ T_2^K & \longrightarrow & T_2^K \oplus T_2^M & \longrightarrow & T_2^M. \end{array}$$

Since X^K is acyclic, Theorem 5.11 implies $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(T_0^M, X^K) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})}(T_0^M, X^K) = 0$. So we can construct the following commutative diagram with the middle row short exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_1^K & \xrightarrow{c^1} & T_0^K & \xrightarrow{c^2} & X^K \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_1} \\ T_1^K \oplus T_1^M & \dashrightarrow & T_0^K \oplus T_0^M & \dashrightarrow & X^L \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_2} \\ T_1^M & \xrightarrow{e^1} & T_0^M & \xrightarrow{e^2} & X^M. \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi([L]) &= \frac{1}{\langle [L], [T_1^K \oplus T_1^M \oplus T_2^K \oplus T_2^M] \rangle} [T_0^K \oplus T_0^M] \diamond [T_1^K \oplus T_1^M \oplus T_2^K \oplus T_2^M]^{-1} \\ &= \psi([K \oplus M]). \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we can get that ψ can induce a linear map

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2} \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{add}\, T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}].$$

For any complex M, and acyclic complex K, we assume that their T-resolutions are as (22) and (23) shows. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi([M]) \diamond \psi([K]) \\
= & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle \langle [K], [T_1^K \oplus T_2^K] \rangle} [T_0^M] \diamond [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M]^{-1} \diamond [T_0^K] \diamond [T_1^K \oplus T_2^K]^{-1} \\
= & \frac{\langle [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M], [T_0^K] \rangle}{\langle [M], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle \langle [K], [T_1^K \oplus T_2^K] \rangle \langle [T_0^K], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle} \\
& [T_0^M] \diamond [T_0^K] \diamond [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M]^{-1} \diamond [T_1^K \oplus T_2^K]^{-1} \\
= & \frac{\langle [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M], [T_0^K] \rangle \langle [T_1^K \oplus T_2^K], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle}{\langle [M], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle \langle [K], [T_1^K \oplus T_2^K] \rangle \langle [T_0^K], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle \langle [T_0^M], [T_0^K] \rangle} \\
& [T_0^M \oplus T_0^K] \diamond [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M \oplus T_1^K \oplus T_2^K]^{-1} \\
= & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [K] \rangle \langle [M \oplus K], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M \oplus T_1^K \oplus T_2^K] \rangle} [T_0^M \oplus T_0^K] \diamond [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M \oplus T_1^K \oplus T_2^K]^{-1} \\
= & \frac{1}{\langle [M], [K] \rangle} \psi([M \oplus K]) \\
= & \psi([M] \diamond [K]),
\end{aligned}$$

where $[M] \diamond [K]$ is defined since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is a $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$-bimodule. Similarly, we can also prove that $\psi([K] \diamond [M]) = \psi([K]) \diamond \psi([M])$.

Furthermore, for any $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{A})$, it is easy to see that $\psi([K])$ is invertible in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$, so we get that ψ induces a morphism

$$\widetilde{\psi} : (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}] \to \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}].$$

In fact,

$$\widetilde{\psi}(s_1^{-1} \otimes a \otimes s_2^{-1}) = \psi(s_1)^{-1} \psi(a) \psi(s_2)^{-1}, \text{ for any } s_1^{-1} \otimes a \otimes s_2^{-1} \in (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}].$$

From Theorem 3.12, we know that

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}))/I'_{\mathbb{Z}/2})[S_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$$

naturally as linear spaces, we get that there is a linear map from $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$ to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}^{-1}]$, which is also denoted by $\widetilde{\psi}$.

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\psi}\widetilde{\phi} = \mathrm{id}$. On the other hand, for any $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$, we know that in $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
[M] &= \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_2^M] \rangle} [X^M] \diamond [T_2^M]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_2^M] \rangle \langle [X^M], [T_1^M] \rangle} [T_0^M] \diamond [T_1^M]^{-1} \diamond [T_2^M]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\langle [T_2^M], [T_1^M] \rangle}{\langle [M], [T_2^M] \rangle \langle [X^M], [T_1^M] \rangle} [T_0^M] \diamond [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{\langle [M], [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M] \rangle} [T_0^M] \diamond [T_1^M \oplus T_2^M]^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\psi} = \mathrm{id}$, which implies $\widetilde{\phi}$ is an isomorphism and $\widetilde{\psi}$ is its inverse map. The proof is complete. □

As a corollary, we prove that the invariance of the modified Ringel-Hall algebras under derived equivalences induced by tilting objects.

Corollary 5.15. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category with a tilting object T. If $\Lambda = \mathrm{End}(T)^{op}$ is a hereditary algebra, then $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda)$. In particular, the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to that of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda$ are hereditary categories. Theorem 5.14 implies that

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{add}\,T))[T^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}].$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the exact category of $\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda$ formed by the finitely generated projective modules. We set $R_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{add}\,\Lambda))$ formed by all $q[K]$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\mathcal{P})$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.13, we get that the right localization of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{P}))$ with respect to $R_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ exists, and denote it by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{P}))[R^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}]$. Theorem 5.14 also implies that

$$\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{P}))[R^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}].$$

Since $\mathrm{add}\,T$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{P}$ as exact categories, it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{add}\,T))[T^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}] \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{P}))[R^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}].$$

By Combining all these isomorphisms, we get that $\mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda)$.

By the above, the last statement follows from Theorem 4.11 immediately.

□

In the following, we consider the relation between the modified Ringel-Hall algebra and the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra, and also the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra.

We recall the definition of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra defined in [Gor]. Let ε be an exact category satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) ε is essential small, idempotent complete and linear over some ground field k.
(C2) For each pair of objects $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}(\varepsilon)$ and for each $p > 0$, we have $|\mathrm{Ext}^p(A,B)| < \infty$, $|\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)| < \infty$.
(C3) For each pair of objects $A, B \in \mathrm{Ob}(\varepsilon)$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for all $p > N$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^p(A,B) = 0$.
(C4) ε has enough projectives, and each object has a finite projective resolution.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the full subcategory of the projective objects of ε.

Define the left relative Grothendieck monoid $M'_0(\widetilde{\varepsilon})$ as the free monoid generated by the set $\mathrm{Iso}(\widetilde{\varepsilon})$, divided by the following set of relations:

$$\langle [L] = [K \oplus M] | K \rightarrowtail L \twoheadrightarrow M \text{ is a conflation}, K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)\rangle.$$

Similarly, define the left relative Grothendieck group $K'_0(\widetilde{\varepsilon})$ as the free group generated by the set $\mathrm{Iso}(\widetilde{\varepsilon})$, divided by the following set of relations:

$$\langle [K] - [L] + [M] = 0 | K \rightarrowtail L \twoheadrightarrow M \text{ is a conflation}, K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)\rangle.$$

Consider the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space with basis parametrized by the elements of $M'_0(\widetilde{\varepsilon})$. Define on this space a structure of a bimodule $\mathcal{M}'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$ over $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)$ by the rule

$$[K] \diamond [M] := \frac{1}{\langle [K],[M]\rangle}[K \oplus M], \quad [M] \diamond [K] := \frac{1}{\langle [M],[K]\rangle}[M \oplus K]$$

for $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon), M \in \widetilde{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)} \mathcal{M}'_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)$$

is a bimodule over the quantum torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon)$.

Definition 5.16 ([Gor]). *We endow $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$ with the following multiplication: the product of the classes of two complexes $L, M \in \widetilde{\varepsilon}$ is defined as*

$$[L] \diamond [M] = \frac{1}{\langle [A_L], [L] \rangle} [A_L]^{-1} \diamond \sum_{X \in \mathrm{Iso}(\widetilde{\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{|\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\widetilde{\varepsilon}}(P_L, M)_X|}{|\operatorname{Hom}(P_L, M)|} [X] \right), \tag{24}$$

where $A_L \rightarrowtail P_L \overset{qis}{\twoheadrightarrow} L$ is an arbitrary conflation with $A_L \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,ac}(\varepsilon), P_L \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$. We call the resulting algebra the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\varepsilon)$.

Furthermore, from [Gor], we also get that the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded semi-derived Hall algebra of exact category $\operatorname{add} T$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T) \cong (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}],$$

where $T_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is the set of all classes $[K]$ of acyclic $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complexes in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T)$.

Corollary 5.17. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a hereditary abelian k-category with a tilting object T. Then there exist algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{DH}(\operatorname{mod} \operatorname{End}(T)^{op}) \simeq \mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\operatorname{mod}(\operatorname{End}(T)^{op})) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\operatorname{add} T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. The first two isomorphisms follow from [Gor, Corollary 9.18-9.19], and the last one follows from Theorem 5.14 since

$$\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T) \cong (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\operatorname{add} T))[T^{-1}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}].$$

□

Now, we consider the coherent sheaves on a weighted projective lines over the finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, and then get the following corollary directly.

Corollary 5.18. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the category of coherent sheaves on a weighted projective line over k, and T be the tilting object with $\Lambda = \operatorname{End}(T)^{op}$ the canonical algebra. Then there exists an algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{DH}(\operatorname{mod} \Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\operatorname{mod} \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{MH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Corollary 5.19. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of coherent sheaves on a weighted projective line over k. Let Λ be the canonical algebra of the same type, i.e., there exists a tilting object T in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\Lambda \cong \operatorname{End}(T)^{op}$. Then the twisted semi-derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{SDH}_{\mathbb{Z}/2,tw}(\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)$ and also the Bridgeland's Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{DH}(\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)$ are isomorphic to the Drinfeld double Ringel-Hall algebra of $\mathcal{H}^e_{tw}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 5.18 and Theorem 4.11 immediately. □

References

[AS] M. Auslander and S. O. Smalø, Preprojective modules over artin algebras, J. Algebra, 66(1)(1980), 61-122.

[Br] T. Bridgeland, Quantum groups via Hall algebras of complexes, Ann. Math. 177(2)(2013), 739-759.

[Büh] T. Bühler, Exact categories, Expo. Math. 28(1)(2010), 1-69.

[BS1] I. Burban and O. Schiffmann, On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve, I, Duke Math. J. 161(7)(2012), 1171-1231.

[BS2] I. Burban and O. Schiffmann, The composition Hall algebra of a weighted projective line, J. Reine Angew. Math. 679(2013), 75-124.

[Cr] T. Cramer, Double Hall algebras and derived equivalences, Adv. Math. 224(3)(2010), 1097-1120.

[DDF] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur-Weyl theory, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 401, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[Di] S. E. Dickson, A torsion theory for abelian categories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 121(1966), 223-235.

[DJX] R. Dou, Y. Jiang and J. Xiao, Hall algebra approach to Drinfeld's presentation of quantum loop algebras, Adv. Math. 231(2012), 2593-2625.

[Dr] V.G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, in: Proc. ICM-86 (Berkeley), vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, 798-820.
[GL] W. Geigle and H. Lenzing, A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles, Lect. Notes Math. 1273(1987), 265-297.
[Gor] M. Gorsky, Semi-derived Hall algebras and tilting invariance of Bridgeland-Hall algebras, arXiv: 1303.5879v2[math.QA].
[Gr] J. Green, Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups, Invent. Math. 120(2)(1995), 361-377.
[GP] J. Guo and L. Peng, Universal PBW-basis of Hall-Ringel algebras and Hall polynomials, J. Algebra 198(2)(1997), 339-351.
[HR] D. Happel and C. M. Ringel, Tilted algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274(1982), 399-443.
[Ha] D. Happel, A characterization of hereditary categories with tilting object, Invent. Math. 144(2001), 381-398.
[HRS] D. Happel, I. Reiten and S. O. Smalø, Tilting in abelian categories and quasitilted algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1996), no. 575.
[Hub] A. Hubery, From triangulated categories to Lie algebras: A theorem of Peng and Xiao, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, Contemp. Math. 406(2006), 51-66.
[Jo] A. Joseph, Quantum groups and their primitive ideals, Ergebnisse der Math. (3), 29, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
[Kap] M. Kapranov, Heisenberg doubles and derived categories, J. Algebra 202(2)(1997), 712-744.
[Ke] B. Keller, Chain complexes and stable categories, Manus. Math. 67(1990), 379-417.
[LP] Y. Lin and L. Peng, Elliptic Lie algebras and tubular algebras, Adv. Math. 196(2005), 487-530.
[Lu] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Birkhäuser progress Math. 110, 1993.
[Mac] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Second edition. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. (The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995).
[P] L. Peng, Some Hall polynomials for representation-finite trivial extention algebras, J. Algebra 197(1)(1997), 1-13.
[PX1] L. Peng and J. Xie, Root categories and simple Lie algebras, J. Algebra, 198(1)(1997), 19-56.
[PX2] L. Peng and J. Xie, Triangulated categories and Kac-Moody algebras, Invent. Math. 140(3)(2000), 563-603.
[Q] D. Quillen, Higher algebraicK-theory, I, in: AlgebraicK-Theory, I: HigherK-Theories, Proc. Conference, Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, WA, 1972, Lect. Notes in Math. 341, Springer-Verlag, 1973, 85-147.
[Rie] Ch. Riedtmann, Lie algebras generated by indecomposables, J. Algebra 170(1994), 526-546.
[Rin1] C. M. Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms, Lect. Notes Math. 1099, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
[Rin2] C. M. Ringel, Hall algebras. In: Topics in Algebra, Banach Center Publ. 26(1990), 433-447.
[Rin3] C. M. Ringel, Hall algebras and quantum groups, Invent. Math. 101(1990), 583-591.
[Rin4] C. M. Ringel, The canonical algebras, in: Topics in Algebra, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 26, PWN, Warsaw 1990, 407-432.
[Rin5] C.M. Ringel, Greens theorem on Hall algebras, in: Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics (Mexico City, 1994), in: CMS Conference Proceedings, vol. 19, American Mathematical Socity, Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 185C245.
[Sch1] O. Schiffmann, Noncommutative projective curves and quantum loop algebras, Duke Math. J. 121(1)(2004), 113-168.
[Sch2] O. Schiffmann, Lectures on Hall algebras, In: Geometric methods in representation theory. II, 1C141, Sémin. Congr., 24-II, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2012).
[SV] B. Sevenhant and M. van den Bergh, On the double of the Hall algebra of a quiver, J. Algebra 221(1999), 135-160.
[T] B. Toën, Derived Hall algebras, Duke Math. J. 135(3)(2006), 587-615.
[X] J. Xiao, Drinfeld double and Ringel-Green theory of Hall algebras, J. Algebra 190(1)(1997), 100-144.
[XX] J. Xiao and F. Xu, Hall algebras associated to triangulated categories, Duke Math. J. 143(2)(2008), 357-373.
[Y] S. Yanagida, A note on Bridgeland's Hall algebra of two-periodic complexes, Math. Z. 282(3)(2016), 973-991.

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R.China
E-mail address: luming@scu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R.China
E-mail address: penglg@scu.edu.cn